arXiv:1006.3014v1 [math.QA] 15 Jun 2010

HOPF-GALOIS OBJECTS AND COGROUPOIDS

JULIEN BICHON

Abstract. We survey some aspects of the theory of Hopf-Galois objects that may studied advantageously by using the language of cogroupoids. These are the notes for a series of lectures given at Cordobá University, may 2010. The lectures are part of the course "Hopf-Galois theory" by Sonia Natale.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Background on Hopf-Galois objects 3
1.1. Hopf-Galois objects 3
1.2. Cleft Hopf-Galois objects 5
1.3. Monoidal equivalences and Schauenburg's Theorem 5
1.4. Cotensor product and various constructions of functors 6
2. Cocategories and cogroupoids 9
2.1. Basic definitions 9
2.2. Back to Hopf-galois objects 10
2.3. Some basic properties of cogroupoids 11
2.4. From Hopf-Galois objects and monoidal equivalences to cogroupoids 14
2.5. The weak Hopf algebra of a finite cogroupoid 19
3. Examples of connected cogroupoids 19
3.1. The bilinear cogroupoid $\mathcal{B}$ 19
3.2. The universal cosovereign cogroupoid $\mathcal{H}$ 22
3.3. The 2-cocycle cogroupoid of a Hopf algebra 25
3.4. The multiparametric GL_n-cogroupoid 26
4. Classifying Hopf-Galois objects: the fibre functor method 28
4.1. Hopf-Galois objects over $\mathcal{B}(E)$ 28
4.2. Hopf-Galois objects over $\mathcal{H}(F)$ 30
4.3. Some remarks 32
5. Applications to comodule algebras 32
5.1. A model comodule algebra for $\mathcal{B}(E)$ 33
5.2. An application to invariant theory 34
6. Yetter-Drinfeld modules 36
6.1. Monoidal equivalence between categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules 36
6.2. Application to Brauer groups of Hopf algebras 39
7. Homological algebra applications 40
7.1. Homology of Hopf-Galois objects 40
7.2. Equivariant resolutions for comodules algebras 41
7.3. Application to bialgebra cohomology 43
References 43

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

INTRODUCTION

These are the notes for a series of lectures given at Cordobá University, may 2010. The lectures are part of the course "Hopf-Galois theory" by Sonia Natale. We assume therefore some knowledge on Hopf-Galois theory, although some basic facts will be recalled for other readers.

We shall focus on the following two concrete problems. Let H be a Hopf algebra (over a fixed base field k).

(1) Given an H-comodule algebra A that we suspect to be an H-Galois object, how can we prove "nicely" that A is an H-Galois object?
(2) How to classify the H-Galois objects?

Of course these two questions are strongly linked to each other. The answers (or tentative answers) we propose rely on Ulbrich's [59] equivalence of categories between the categories of H-Galois objects and fibre functors over the category of H-comodules.

To answer the first question, we propose here to use the language of cogroupoids. This forces us to introduce more notations and concepts, so what we have to do first is to explain our motivation. Recall that an H-Galois object is an H-comodule algebra for which a certain "canonical" linear map is bijective. The definition is clear and concise, so why should we need to make it more complicated? Of course the basic answer, which probably provides enough motivation, is that we want to be able to check that the canonical map is indeed bijective. Another more conceptual motivation comes with the following parallel situation: given a monoid G, then G is a group if and only if the following "canonical map"

$$\begin{aligned} G \times G &\longrightarrow G \times G \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto (xy, y) \end{aligned}$$

is bijective. Of course this gives a short definition of groups that to not use the axioms of inverses, but for many obvious reasons we prefer to use the (slightly more involved) axioms of inverses. This is exactly the same philosophy that leads to the use of cogroupoids in Hopf-Galois theory: we will have (much) more axioms but on the other hand they should be more natural and easier to deal with. We present various examples of cogroupoids (Section 3). We hope that they will convince the reader that it is not more difficult (and in some sense easier) to work with cogroupoids rather than with Galois objects.

One of the main motivations for studying Hopf-(bi)Galois objects is an important result by Schauenburg [49] stating that the comodule categories over two Hopf algebras H and L are monoidally equivalent if and only if there exists an H-L-bi-Galois object. The knowledge of the full cogroupoid structure (rather than "only" the bi-Galois object) might be useful to exactly determine the image of an object by the monoidal equivalence. It is also the aim of the notes to present several applications of this: construction of new explicit resolutions from old ones in homological algebra, invariant theory, monoidal equivalences between categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules with applications to bialgebra cohomology and Brauer groups. The use of the cogroupoid structure is probably not necessary everywhere, but we believe that it can help!

The second question that we are concerned with is the classification problem of the Hopf-Galois objects of a given Hopf algebra. Once again this is motivated by monoidal categories of comodules and Ulbrich's theorem [59] already mentioned. We shall see that in fact Ulbrich's theorem is, in some situations, a very convenient tool to classify Hopf-Galois objects.

These notes are organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall some basic definitions and some important results on Hopf-Galois objects. In most cases we do not give proofs but at some occasions we give parts of the proofs, when these are useful for the rest of the paper. In Section 2 we introduce cogroupoids and prove some basic results. We show that a connected cogroupoid induces a bi-Galois object and hence a monoidal equivalence between the comodule categories over two Hopf algebras. Conversely it is shown that any Hopf-Galois object and any monoidal equivalence between comodule categories always arises from a connected cogroupoid. We also show that a connected cogroupoid gives rise to a weak Hopf algebra. In Section 3 we present various examples of cogroupoids. In Section 4 we use the fibre functor method (and the constructions of Section 3) to classify the Galois objects over the Hopf algebras of bilinear forms and the universal cosovereign Hopf algebras. Section 5 is devoted to applications of Hopf-Galois objects and monoidal equivalences to comodule algebras: we describe a model comodule algebra for the Hopf algebra of a bilinear form and we show how to use Hopf-Galois objects to get new results from old ones in invariant theory. In Section 6 we show how monoidal equivalences between comodule categories extend to categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules and we give an application to Brauer groups of Hopf algebras. Section 7 is devoted to applications in homological algebra: we show that the Hochshild (co)homology of a Hopf-Galois object is determined by the Hochshild (co)homology of the corresponding Hopf algebras, we show how to transport equivariant resolutions, and we give an application of the result on Yetter-Drindeld modules to bialgebra cohomology.

These notes are mostly a compilation or reformulation of well-known results. One of the only new results is the construction (Proposition 2.26) of a weak Hopf algebra from a cogroupoid (hence from a Hopf-Galois object). This (unpublished) result was obtained in collaboration with Grunspan in 2003-2004. A similar result has been obtained independently by De Commer [17, 18]. The notions of cocategory and cogroupoid were presented by the author in several talks between 2004 and 2008 (together with the result with Grunspan). It seems to be their first formal appearance in printed form, but of course these notions are so natural that they might have been defined by any mathematician who would have needed them!

There are many aspects of Hopf-Galois theory (in particular Galois correspondences and Hopf-Galois extensions with non-trivial coinvariants) that are ignored in these notes. The reader might consult the excellent survey papers [43, 50] for these topics.

Notations and conventions. Throughout these notes we work over a fixed base field denoted k. We assume that the reader has some knowledge of Hopf algebra theory and Hopf-Galois theory (as in [42], for Hopf-Galois theory we recall all the necessary definitions) and on monoidal category theory [32]. We use the standard notations, and in particular Sweedler's notation $\Delta(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$. The k-linear monoidal category of right H-comodules (resp. finite-dimensional right H-comodules) over a Hopf algebra H is denoted $\mathrm{Comod}(H)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Comod}_f(H)$), and the set of H-comodule morphisms (H-colinear maps) between H-comodules V, W is denoted $\mathrm{Hom}_H(V, W)$.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Sonia Natale for the invitation to give these lectures and for her kind hospitality at Cordobá. The visit at Cordobá University was supported by the program Premer-Prefalc and Conicet (PIP CONICET 112-200801-00566t).

1. BACKGROUND ON HOPF-GALOIS OBJECTS

In this section we collect the definitions, constuctions and results needed in the paper.

1.1. **Hopf-Galois objects.**

Definition 1.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. A* ***left H-Galois object*** *is a left H-comodule algebra $A \neq (0)$ such that if $\alpha : A \longrightarrow H \otimes A$ denotes the coaction of H on A, the linear map*

$$\kappa_l : A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes 1_A} H \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{1_H \otimes m} H \otimes A$$

is an isomorphism. A ***right H-Galois object*** *is a right H-comodule algebra $A \neq (0)$ such that if $\beta : A \longrightarrow A \otimes H$ denotes the coaction of H on A, the linear map κ_r defined by the composition*

$$\kappa_r : A \otimes A \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes \beta} A \otimes A \otimes H \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1_H} A \otimes H$$

is an isomorphism.

If L is another Hopf algebra, an ***H-L-bi-Galois object*** *is an H-L-bicomodule algebra which is both a left H-Galois object and a right L-Galois object.*

The maps κ_l and κ_r are often called the **canonical maps**.

Example 1.2. The Hopf algebra H, endowed with its comultiplication Δ, is itself an H-H-bi-Galois object.

Note that if H is a bialgebra, the maps κ_l and κ_l are well defined and H is a Hopf algebra if and only if κ_l is bijective if and only if κ_r is bijective. This gives a definition of Hopf algebras without using the antipode axioms. However, the definition with the antipode is much more preferable.

Example 1.3. Let H be a Hopf algebra. Recall (see e.g. [15]) that a **2-cocycle** on H is a convolution invertible linear map $\sigma : H \otimes H \longrightarrow k$ satisfying

$$\sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})\sigma(x_{(2)}y_{(2)}, z) = \sigma(y_{(1)}, z_{(1)})\sigma(x, y_{(2)}z_{(2)})$$

and $\sigma(x, 1) = \sigma(1, x) = \varepsilon(x)$, for all $x, y, z \in H$. The set of 2-cocycles on H is denoted $Z^2(H)$. When $H = k[G]$ is a group algebra, it is easy to check that we have an identification $Z^2(k[G]) \simeq Z^2(G, k^*)$. Note however that in general there is no natural group structure on $Z^2(H)$.

The convolution inverse of σ, denoted σ^{-1}, satisfies

$$\sigma^{-1}(x_{(1)}y_{(1)}, z)\sigma^{-1}(x_{(2)}, y_{(2)}) = \sigma^{-1}(x, y_{(1)}z_{(1)})\sigma^{-1}(y_{(2)}, z_{(2)})$$

and $\sigma^{-1}(x, 1) = \sigma^{-1}(1, x) = \varepsilon(x)$, for all $x, y, z \in H$.

The algebra ${}_\sigma H$ is defined as follows. As a vector space ${}_\sigma H = H$ and the product of ${}_\sigma H$ is defined to be

$$x \cdot y = \sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})x_{(2)}y_{(2)}, \quad x, y \in H.$$

That ${}_\sigma H$ is an associative algebra with 1 as unit follows from the 2-cocycle condition. Moreover ${}_\sigma H$ is a right H-comodule algebra with $\Delta : {}_\sigma H \longrightarrow {}_\sigma H \otimes H$ as a coaction, and is a right H-Galois object.

Similarly we have the algebra $H_{\sigma^{-1}}$. As a vector space $H_{\sigma^{-1}} = H$ and the product of $H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ is defined to be

$$x \cdot y = \sigma^{-1}(x_{(2)}, y_{(2)})x_{(1)}y_{(1)}, \quad x, y \in H.$$

Then $H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ is a left H-comodule algebra with coaction $\Delta : H_{\sigma^{-1}} \longrightarrow H \otimes H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ and $H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ is a left H-Galois object. We will (re)prove these facts in Subsection 3.3.

Example 1.4. Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a left H-Galois object with coaction $\alpha : A \longrightarrow H \otimes A$, $\alpha(a) = a_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)}$. Then the linear map $\beta : A^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow A^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes H$, $\beta(a) = a_{(0)} \otimes S(a_{(-1)})$ endows A^{op} with a right H-comodule algebra structure, and A^{op} is right H-Galois if the antipode S is bijective (exercise).

Hence if the antipode of H is bijective, there is no essential difference between left anf right H-Galois objects.

Definition 1.5. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. The* ***category of left H-Galois objects*** *(resp.* ***right H-Galois objects****), denoted $\underline{\mathrm{Gal}}^l(H)$ (resp. $\underline{\mathrm{Gal}}^r(H)$), is the category whose objects are left H-Galois objects (resp. right H-Galois objects) and whose morphisms are H-colinear algebra maps (i.e. H-comodule algebra maps).*

The set of isomorphism classes of left H-Galois objects (resp. right H-Galois objects) is denoted $\mathrm{Gal}^l(H)$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{Gal}^r(H)$*).*

It follows from Example 1.4 that if H has bijective antipode, the categories $\underline{\mathrm{Gal}}^l(H)$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Gal}}^r(H)$ are isomorphic, and in this case we simply put $\mathrm{Gal}(H) = \mathrm{Gal}^l(H) = \mathrm{Gal}^r(H)$.

The following result (Remark 3.11 in [51]), means that the categories $\underline{\mathrm{Gal}}^l(H)$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Gal}}^r(H)$ are groupoids (i.e. every morphism is an isomorphism). It is very useful for classification results.

Proposition 1.6. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A, B some (left or right) H-Galois objects. Any H-colinear algebra map $f : A \longrightarrow B$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Assume for example that A and B are left H-Galois and denote by κ_l^A and κ_l^B the respective canonical maps. Endow B with the left A-module structure induced by f. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \otimes B \arrow[r, "\kappa_l^B \circ (f \otimes 1_B)"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & H \otimes B \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \\
(A \otimes A) \otimes_A B \arrow[r, "\kappa_l^A \otimes_A 1_B"] & (H \otimes A) \otimes_A B
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes and hence $f \otimes 1_B$ is an isomorphism, and so is f. ☐

We get a simple criterion to test if a Galois object is trivial.

Proposition 1.7. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a left or right H-Galois object. Then $A \cong H$ as H-comodule algebras if and only if there exists an algebra map $\phi : A \longrightarrow k$.*

Proof. It is clear, using the counit, that if $A \cong H$ as comodule algebras, then there exists an algebra map $A \longrightarrow k$. Conversely, assume for example that A is left H-galois and that there exists an algebra map $\phi : A \longrightarrow k$. Then the map $A \longrightarrow H$, $a \longmapsto \phi(a_{(0)})a_{(-1)}$ is a left H-colinear algebra map, and is an isomorphism by the previous proposition. ☐

1.2. **Cleft Hopf-Galois objects.** In this subsection we briefly focus on an important class of Hopf-Galois objects, called **cleft**.

We have already seen (Example 1.3) how to associate a Galois object to a 2-cocyle. This construction is axiomatized by the following result, which summarizes work of Doi-Takeuchi [16] and Blattner-Montgomery [11]. The proof can be found in [42] or [50].

Theorem 1.8. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a left H-Galois object. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *There exists $\sigma \in Z^2(H)$ such that $A \cong H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ as left comodule algebras.*
2. *$A \cong H$ as left H-comodules.*
3. *There exists a convolution invertible H-colinear map $\phi : H \longrightarrow A$*

A left H-Galois object is said to be **cleft** *if it satisfies the above equivalent conditions.*

Of course there is a similar result for right H-Galois objects.

There are nice classes of Hopf algebras for which cleftness is automatic. Recall that a Hopf algebra is said to be pointed if all its simple comodules are 1-dimensional.

Theorem 1.9. *Let H be a finite-dimensional or pointed Hopf algebra. Then any H-Galois object is cleft.*

For the pointed case we refer the reader to Remark 10 in [27] and for the finite-dimensional case we refer the reader to [36]. See however the last remark in the next subsection, where we give a proof by using fibre functors.

1.3. **Monoidal equivalences and Schauenburg's Theorem.** The following result from [49] is one of the main motivations for the study of (bi-)Galois objects.

Theorem 1.10 (Schauenburg). *Let H and L be some Hopf algebras. The following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a k-linear equivalence of monoidal categories*
$$\mathrm{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(L)$$
2. *There exists an H-L-bi-Galois object.*

So we have a very powerful tool to construct monoidal equivalences between categories of comodules over Hopf algebras! In the next subsection we present various very important constructions related to Schauenburg's Theorem.

1.4. **Cotensor product and various constructions of functors.** In this subsection we recall several important constructions of functors associated with Hopf-Galois objects. The basic construction is the cotensor product.

Definition 1.11. *Let C be a coalgebra, let V be a right C-comodule and let W be a left C-comodule. The* ***cotensor product of*** V ***and*** W*, denoted* $V\square_C W$*, is defined to be the equalizer*

$$0 \longrightarrow V\square_C W \longrightarrow V\otimes W \rightrightarrows V\otimes C\otimes W$$

i.e. the kernel of the map $\alpha_V\otimes 1_W - 1_V\otimes\alpha_W$*, where* α_V *and* α_W *are the respective coactions on* V *and* W*.*

The first thing that the cotensor product allows us to do is to construct functors on comodule categories, as follows.

Proposition 1.12. *Let C be a coalgebra. Any left C-comodule W defines a k-linear functor*

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^W : \mathrm{Comod}(C) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(k)\\ V &\longmapsto V\square_C W\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $f : V \longrightarrow V'$ is C-colinear map, then it is easy to check that $f\otimes 1(V\square_C W)\subset V'\square_C W$ and hence we get the announced functor. □

Remark 1.13. *If $W\cong C$ as left C-comodules, then Ω^W is isomorphic to the forgetful functor.*

Proof. Let $f : C\longrightarrow W$ be a C-colinear isomorphism. If V is a right C-comodule, we have a vector space isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}V &\longrightarrow V\square_C W = \Omega^W(V)\\ v &\longmapsto v_{(0)}\otimes f(v_{(1)})\end{aligned}$$

(the inverse is given by $v\otimes a\mapsto \varepsilon(f^{-1}(a))v$). The isomorphism is clearly functorial and we have the result. □

The monoidal analogue of Proposition 1.12 is Ulbrich's theorem [59]:

Theorem 1.14 (Ulbrich)**.** *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a left H-Galois object. The functor*

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^A : \mathrm{Comod}_f(H) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)\\ V &\longmapsto V\square_H A\end{aligned}$$

is a fibre functor: it is k-linear, exact, faithful and monoidal. Conversely, any fibre functor arises in this way from a unique (up to isomorphism) left H-Galois object.

Partial proof. Consider the previous functor $\Omega^A : \mathrm{Comod}(H)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Vect}(k)$. We endow Ω^A with a monoidal structure as follows (the construction is from [58]). First define $\widetilde{\Omega}_0^A : k\longrightarrow \Omega^A(k) = k\square_H A = A^{\mathrm{co}H}$, $1\longmapsto 1$. This is an isomorphism since for $a\in A^{\mathrm{co}H}$, we have $\kappa_l(a\otimes 1) = 1\otimes a = \kappa_l(1\otimes a)$, hence we have $a\otimes 1 = 1\otimes a$ by the injectivity of κ_l and $a\in k1$.

Now let $V, W\in\mathrm{Comod}(H)$. It is straightforward to check that if $\sum_i v_i\otimes a_i\in V\square_H A$ and $\sum_j w_j\otimes b_j\in W\square_H A$, then

$$\sum_{i,j} v_i\otimes w_j\otimes a_ib_j\in (V\otimes W)\square_H A$$

Thus we have a map

$$\begin{aligned}(V\square_H A)\otimes(W\square_H A) &\longrightarrow (V\otimes W)\square_H A\\ (\sum_i v_i\otimes a_i)\otimes(\sum_j w_j\otimes b_j) &\longmapsto \sum_{i,j} v_i\otimes w_j\otimes a_ib_j\end{aligned}$$

that we denote

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V,W} : \Omega^A(V)\otimes\Omega^A(W)\longrightarrow\Omega^A(V\otimes W)$$

It is clear that this map is functorial, and we have to check that $\Omega^A = (\Omega^A, \widetilde{\Omega}^A_{\bullet,\bullet}, \widetilde{\Omega}_0)$ is a monoidal functor. It is easy to seen that the associativity (coherence) constraints of a monoidal functor are satisfied, and what is really non trivial is to check that $\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V,W}$ is an isomorphism for any $V, W \in \mathrm{Comod}(H)$. We use the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.15.

1. *For any* $V \in \mathrm{Comod}(H)$*, the linear map* $1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1 : (V \otimes H)\Box_H A \longrightarrow V \otimes A$ *is an isomorphism.*
2. *For any* $V \in \mathrm{Comod}(H)$*, the linear map* $m_V := 1 \otimes m : (V\Box_H A) \otimes A \longrightarrow V \otimes A$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof of the Lemma. (1) The reader will easily check that the inverse $V \otimes A \longrightarrow (V \otimes H)\Box_H A$ is given by $v \otimes a \longmapsto v_{(0)} \otimes S(v_{(1)})a_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)}$.

(2) It is not difficult to check that $1 \otimes \kappa_l : V \otimes A \otimes A \longrightarrow V \otimes H \otimes A$ induces a map $1 \otimes \kappa_l : (V\Box_H A) \otimes A \longrightarrow (V\Box_H H) \otimes A$ and that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(V\Box_H A) \otimes A \arrow[rr, "1\otimes\kappa_l"] \arrow[dr, "m_V"'] & & (V\Box_H H) \otimes A \arrow[dl, "1\otimes\varepsilon\otimes 1"] \\
& V \otimes A &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with the vertical arrow on the right bijective by (1), so m_V is an isomorphism. ☐

We are now ready to prove that $\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V,W}$ is an isomorphism. The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(V\Box_H A) \otimes (W\Box_H A) \otimes A \arrow[rrr, "\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V,W}\otimes 1"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes m_W"] & & & ((V \otimes W)\Box_H A) \otimes A \arrow[d, "m_{V\otimes W}"] \\
(V\Box_H A) \otimes W \otimes A \arrow[r, "1\otimes\tau"] & (V\Box_H A) \otimes A \otimes W \arrow[r, "m_V\otimes 1"] & V \otimes A \otimes W \arrow[r, "1\otimes\tau"] & V \otimes W \otimes A
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the τ's denote the canonical flips $x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$. Since by the Lemma all the vertical and horizontal down morphisms are isomorphisms we conclude that $\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V,W} \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism, and so is $\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V,W}$: we have our monoidal functor $\Omega^A = (\Omega^A, \widetilde{\Omega}^A_{\bullet,\bullet}, \widetilde{\Omega}^A_0) : \mathrm{Comod}(H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(k)$. That $\Omega^A : \mathrm{Comod}(H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(k)$ restricts to a monoidal functor $\Omega^A : \mathrm{Comod}_f(H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$ is a consequence of the forthcoming Proposition 1.16.

Faithfulness of Ω^A is obvious while exactness is easy using (3) in the Lemma (see [58]), hence Ω^A is a fibre functor. We do not prove the converse (see [58, 59]). ☐

The following result has been used in the partial proof of Ulbrich's theorem and will also be useful elsewhere.

Proposition 1.16. *Let* H *be a Hopf algebra and let* $F : \mathrm{Comod}(H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(k)$ *be a monoidal functor. If* V *is a finite-dimensional* H*-comodule, then* $F(V)$ *is a finite-dimensional vector space. Moreover we have* $\dim(V) = 1 \Rightarrow \dim(F(V)) = 1$*, and if* F *is a fibre functor then* $\dim(F(V)) = 1 \Rightarrow \dim(V) = 1$.

Proof. The key point is that a vector space V is finite-dimensional if and only if there exists a vector space W and linear maps $e : W \otimes V \to k$ and $d : k \to V \otimes W$ such that

$$1_V = (1_V \otimes e) \circ (d \otimes 1_V), \ 1_W = (e \otimes 1_W) \circ (1_W \otimes d)$$

If $V \in \mathrm{Comod}_f(H)$, the dual comodule V^* satisfies to the above requirement with e and d H-colinear, where e is the evaluation map: in other words V has a left dual in $\mathrm{Comod}(H)$, see [30] or [32]. Applying the monoidal functor F, we easily see that $F(V)$ satisfies to the above condition and hence that $F(V)$ is finite-dimensional. If $\dim(V) = 1$, then $e : V^* \otimes V \longrightarrow k$ is an isomorphism and so is the induced composition $F(V^*) \otimes F(V) \cong F(V^* \otimes V) \cong F(k) \cong k$, hence $\dim(F(V)) = 1$. If $\dim(F(V)) = 1$, then the previous composition is an isomorphism (because the monoidal functor transforms left duals into left duals), and hence $F(e)$ is an isomorphism. If F is a fibre functor then it is exact faithful so e is an isomorphism, which shows that $\dim(V) = 1$. $\square$

Of course there is a left-right version of Ulbrich's Theorem. Note that Ulbrich's theorem in [59] is a stronger statement: it states an equivalence of categories between left H-Galois objects and fibre functors on $\mathrm{Comod}_f(H)$.

In the setting of fibre functors there is a nice characterization of cleftness in terms of fibre functors, essentially due to Etingof-Gelaki [21].

Theorem 1.17. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a left H-Galois object. The following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *A is a cleft H-Galois object.*
2. *The fibre functor $\Omega^A : \mathrm{Comod}_f(H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$ preserves the dimensions of the underlying vector spaces.*

Partial proof. We give the proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) (the easy part) and we refer to [21] for the proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). If A is cleft there exists an H-colinear isomorphism $H \cong A$ and by Remark 1.13 Ω^A is isomorphic to the forgetful functor, which proves the result. $\square$

Remark 1.18. We can use the fibre functor interpretation to give a proof of Theorem 1.9. Let A be a left H-Galois object.

Assume first that H is pointed. Any simple H-comodule is one dimensional, so F_A preserves the dimension of any simple H-comodule by Proposition 1.16. An induction now shows that F_A preserves the dimension of any finite-dimensional H-comodule, and hence A is cleft by Theorem 1.17.

Assume now that H is finite-dimensional. We have a linear isomorphism $A \cong H\square_H A = \Omega^A(H)$, $a \mapsto a_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)}$, so A is finite-dimensional since Ω^A has its values in $\mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$. Denote by A_0 the trivial H-comodule whose underlying vector space is A. The canonical map $\kappa_l : A \otimes A_0 \longrightarrow H \otimes A_0$ is a left H-comodule isomorphism. Hence we have a left H-comodule isomorphism $A^{\dim(A)} \cong H^{\dim(A)}$ and so the Krull-Schmidt Theorem shows that $A \cong H$ as left H-comodules.

We now come to the construction of functors between categories of comodules. The basic result is the following one.

Proposition 1.19. *Let C and D be some coalgebras. Any C-D-bicomodule X defines a k-linear functor*

$$\begin{aligned} F^X : \mathrm{Comod}(C) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Comod}(D) \\ V &\longmapsto V\square_C X \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is clear that $V \otimes X$ is a right D-comodule for the coaction $1_V \otimes \beta_X$, where $\beta_X : X \longrightarrow X \otimes D$ is the right D-coaction on X. We have to check that $V\square_C X$ is a D-subcomodule of $V \otimes X$. So let $\sum_i v_i \otimes x_i \in V\square_C X$. We have

$$\sum_i v_{i(0)} \otimes v_{i(1)} \otimes x_i = \sum_i v_i \otimes x_{i(-1)} \otimes x_{i(0)}$$

and hence

$$\sum_i v_{i(0)} \otimes v_{i(1)} \otimes x_{i(0)} \otimes x_{i(1)} = \sum_i v_i \otimes x_{i(-1)} \otimes x_{i(0)} \otimes x_{i(1)}$$

which shows that $\sum_i v_i \otimes x_{i(0)} \otimes x_{i(1)} \in (V\square_C X) \otimes D$, and hence $V\square_C X$ is a D-subcomodule of $V \otimes X$. It is clear that if $f : V \longrightarrow W$ is a C-comodule map, then $f \otimes 1_X$ induces a D-comodule map $V\square_C X \longrightarrow W\square_C X$, and we have our functor. $\square$

Takeuchi [54] has given the precise conditions for which the above functor is an equivalence: the bicomodule has to be part of some more structured data, known now as a Morita-Takeuchi context, and he has shown that any k-linear equivalence between categories of comodules arises in that way. The axioms of cocategories discussed in the next section are quite close from those of Morita-Takeuchi contexts.

We finish the subsection by a monoidal version of Proposition 1.19.

Proposition 1.20. *Let H and L be some Hopf algebras. Let A be an H-L-bicomodule algebra such that A is left H-Galois. Then A defines a k-linear monoidal functor*

$$\begin{aligned} F^A : \mathrm{Comod}(H) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Comod}(L) \\ V &\longmapsto V\square_H A \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The functor is provided by Proposition 1.19. By the (partial) proof of Ulbrich's Theorem we have for any $V, W \in \mathrm{Comod}(H)$ linear isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (V\square_H A) \otimes (W\square_H A) &\longrightarrow (V \otimes W)\square_H A \\ (\sum_i v_i \otimes a_i) \otimes (\sum_j w_j \otimes b_j) &\longmapsto \sum_{i,j} v_i \otimes w_j \otimes a_i b_j \end{aligned}$$

which are easily seen to be L-colinear. In this way F^A is a monoidal functor, as announced. $\square$

The proof of the (2) ⇒ (1) part of Schauenburg's theorem (from a bi-Galois object to a monoidal equivalence) uses the construction of Proposition 1.20.

2. Cocategories and cogroupoids

In this section we put Hopf bi-Galois objects into a more structured framework. The idea is that although the axiomatic becomes more complicated at first sight, it should be more natural and easier to deal with.

2.1. Basic definitions.

The first step is to propose a notion that is dual to the one of category, as follows.

Definition 2.1. *A **cocategory** (or k-cocategory) $\mathcal{C}$ consists of:*
- *a set of objects* $\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.
- *For any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, a k-algebra $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$.*
- *For any $X, Y, Z \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, algebra morphisms*

$$\Delta^Z_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_X : \mathcal{C}(X, X) \longrightarrow k$$

such that for any $X, Y, Z, T \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \arrow[r, "\Delta^Z_{X,Y}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^T_{X,Y}"'] & \mathcal{C}(X,Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z,Y) \arrow[d, "\Delta^T_{X,Z}\otimes 1"'] \\
\mathcal{C}(X,T) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T,Y) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\Delta^Z_{T,Y}"] & \mathcal{C}(X,T) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T,Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z,Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \arrow[d, "\Delta^Y_{X,Y}"] \arrow[dr, equal] & & \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \arrow[d, "\Delta^X_{X,Y}"] \arrow[dr, equal] & \\
\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y,Y) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\varepsilon_Y"] & \mathcal{C}(X,Y) & \mathcal{C}(X,X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_X\otimes 1"] & \mathcal{C}(X,Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The following results are immediate consequences of the axioms.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocategory and let $X, Y, Z \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.*

1. *$\mathcal{C}(X, X) = (\mathcal{C}(X, X), \Delta^X_{X,X}, \varepsilon_X)$ is a bialgebra.*
2. *$\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is a $\mathcal{C}(X, X)$-$\mathcal{C}(Y, Y)$-bicomodule algebra, via $\Delta^X_{X,Y}$ and $\Delta^Y_{X,Y}$ respectively.*
3. *$\Delta^Z_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y)$ is a $\mathcal{C}(X, X)$-$\mathcal{C}(Y, Y)$-bicomodule algebra morphism and we have $\Delta^Z_{X,Y}(\mathcal{C}(X, Y)) \subset \mathcal{C}(X, Z)\square_{\mathcal{C}(Z,Z)}\mathcal{C}(Z, Y)$.*

Thus a cocategory with one object is just a bialgebra.

Definition 2.3. *A cocategory $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be* **connected** *if $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is a non zero algebra for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.*

A groupoid is a category whose morphisms all are isomorphisms. A dual notion is the following one.

Definition 2.4. *A* **cogroupoid** *(or a k-cogroupoid) $\mathcal{C}$ consists of a cocategory $\mathcal{C}$ together with, for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, linear maps*

$$S_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y,X)$$

such that for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}(X,X) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_X"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^Y_{X,X}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \\
\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \arrow[rr, "1 \otimes S_{Y,X}"] & & \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}(X,X) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_X"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^Y_{X,X}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \\
\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \arrow[rr, "S_{X,Y} \otimes 1"] & & \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where m denotes the multiplication of $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ and u is the unit map.

Remark 2.5 (on terminology)**.** A connected cogroupoid with two objects is exactly what Grunspan called a total Hopf-Galois system in [25] (some axioms are redundant in [25]), which was a symmetrisation of the notion of Hopf-Galois system from [9]. It seems that the more compact present formulation is much more convenient and pleasant to deal with.

Definition 2.6. *A* **full subcocategory** *(resp.* **full subcogroupoid***) of a cocategory (resp. cogroupoid) $\mathcal{C}$ is a cocategory (resp. cogroupoid) $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, with $\mathcal{D}(X,Y) = \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$, $\forall X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{D})$, and whose structural morphisms are those induced by the ones of $\mathcal{C}$.*

Notation 2.7. *We now introduce Sweedler's notation for cocategories and cogroupoids. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocategory. For $a^{X,Y} \in \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$, we write*

$$\Delta^Z_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}) = a^{X,Z}_{(1)} \otimes a^{Z,Y}_{(2)}$$

The cocategory axioms now read

$$(\Delta^T_{X,Z} \otimes 1) \circ \Delta^Z_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}) = a^{X,T}_{(1)} \otimes a^{T,Z}_{(2)} \otimes a^{Z,Y}_{(3)} = (1 \otimes \Delta^Z_{T,Y}) \circ \Delta^T_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y})$$

$$\varepsilon_X(a^{X,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}_{(2)} = a^{X,Y} = \varepsilon_Y(a^{Y,Y}_{(2)})a^{X,Y}_{(1)}$$

and the additional cogroupoid axioms are

$$S_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}_{(1)})a^{Y,X}_{(2)} = \varepsilon_X(a^{X,X})1 = a^{X,Y}_{(1)} S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(2)})$$

2.2. **Back to Hopf-galois objects.**

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected cogroupoid. Then for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the algebra $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is a $\mathcal{C}(X,X) - \mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$ bi-Galois object.*

Proof. We give a proof by using Sweedler's notation (a proof with morphisms can be found in [9]). Let $\eta_l : \mathcal{C}(X,X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ be defined by

$$\eta_l = (1 \otimes m) \circ (1 \otimes S_{Y,X} \otimes 1) \circ (\Delta^Y_{X,X} \otimes 1)$$

i.e. $\eta_l(a^{X,X} \otimes b^{X,Y}) = a^{X,Y}_{(1)} \otimes S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(2)})b^{X,Y}$. We show that η_l is an inverse for κ_l. Let $a^{X,Y}, b^{X,Y} \in \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_l \circ \kappa_l(a^{X,Y} \otimes b^{X,Y}) &= \eta_l(a^{X,X}_{(1)} \otimes a^{X,Y}_{(2)} b^{X,Y}) \\
&= a^{X,Y}_{(1)} \otimes S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(2)})a^{X,Y}_{(3)} b^{X,Y} = a^{X,Y}_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_Y(a^{Y,Y}_{(2)})b^{X,Y} = a^{X,Y} \otimes b^{X,Y}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\eta_l \circ \kappa_l$ is the identity map. Similarly one checks that $\kappa_l \circ \eta_r = \mathrm{id}$ and hence $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is left $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$-Galois. Similarly, we define $\eta_r : \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y,Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ by

$$\eta_r = (m \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes S_{Y,X} \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \Delta^X_{Y,Y}),$$

and check that η_r is an inverse for κ_r. Hence $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is right $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-Galois. ☐

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected cogroupoid. Then for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, there exists a k-linear equivalence of monoidal categories*

$$\mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X)) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(Y,Y))$$

Proof. Just combine the previous result with Schauenburg's Theorem. ☐

We now state two results that mean that the theory of Hopf-Galois objects is actually equivalent to the theory of connected cogroupoids.

Theorem 2.10. *Let H and L be some Hopf algebras. The following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a k-linear equivalence of monoidal categories*

$$\mathrm{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(L)$$

2. *There exists a connected cogroupoid $\mathcal{C}$ with two objects X, Y such that $H = \mathcal{C}(X,X)$ and $L = \mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$.*

Theorem 2.11. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a left H-Galois object. Then there exists a connected cogroupoid $\mathcal{C}$ with two objects X, Y such that $H = \mathcal{C}(X,X)$ and $A = \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$.*

The proof of (1) ⇒ (2) in Theorem 2.10 is done in [9] by using Tannaka-Krein reconstruction techniques ([30, 47]). We give a sketch of the proof in Subsection 2.4.

The proof of Theorem 2.11 is also given in Subsection 2.4. Another proof of this result is provided by Grunspan [26], using quantum torsors and results by Schauenburg (see [49] and Subsection 2.8 of [50]). This proof has the merit to work for Hopf algebras over rings (of course with flatness assumptions).

The proofs of these two results in Subsection 2.4 will never be used in the rest of the paper, so the reader might skip them first, and get back if he needs to.

The (2) ⇒ (1) part in Theorem 2.10 is the previous corollary. We shall give a proof of it without using Schauenburg's theorem in the next subsection. More precisely, the explicit form of the monoidal equivalence constructed by starting from a connected cogroupoid is as follows. Having this explicit form in hand is useful in some applications.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected cogroupoid. Then for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ we have k-linear equivalences of monoidal categories that are inverse of each other*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X)) &\cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)) \qquad & \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)) &\cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X)) \\ V &\longmapsto V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y) & V &\longmapsto V \square_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \end{aligned}$$

We give a proof in the next subsection.

2.3. **Some basic properties of cogroupoids.**

This section is devoted to state and prove some basic properties of cogroupoids, and to give a proof of Theorem 2.12.

We begin by examinating some properties of the "antipodes". Part (1) of the following result is proved very indirectly in [9], with a direct proof given in [29]. In view of the next Proposition 2.26, theses properties also are consequences of results on weak Hopf algebras [12].

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cogroupoid and let $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$.*

1. *$S_{Y,X} : \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X,Y)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is an algebra morphism.*
2. *For any $Z \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $a^{Y,X} \in \mathcal{C}(Y,X)$, we have*

$$\Delta^Z_{X,Y}(S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X})) = S_{Z,X}(a^{Z,X}_{(2)}) \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)})$$

Proof. Let $a^{Y,X}, b^{Y,X} \in \mathcal{C}(Y,X)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}b^{Y,X}) &= S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(1)}b^{Y,X}_{(1)})\varepsilon_X(a^{X,X}_{(2)})\varepsilon_X(b^{X,X}_{(2)})\\
&= S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(1)}b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}_{(2)}b^{X,Y}_{(2)}S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(3)})S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(3)})\\
&= \varepsilon_Y(a^{Y,Y}_{(1)}b^{Y,Y}_{(1)})S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(2)})S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(2)})\\
&= S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X})S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X})
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves (1). We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{Z,X}(a^{Z,X}_{(2)}) \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)}) &= S_{Z,X}(a^{Z,X}_{(2)}\varepsilon_X(a^{X,X}_{(3)})) \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)})\\
&= S_{Z,X}(a^{Z,X}_{(2)}) \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)}) \cdot \left(\varepsilon_X(a^{X,X}_{(3)})1 \otimes 1\right)\\
&= S_{Z,X}(a^{Z,X}_{(2)}) \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)}) \cdot \left(\Delta^Z_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}_{(3)}S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(4)}))\right)\\
&= S_{Z,X}(a^{Z,X}_{(2)}) \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)}) \cdot \left(a^{X,Z}_{(3)} \otimes a^{Z,Y}_{(4)}\right) \cdot \Delta^Z_{X,Y}(S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(5)}))\\
&= \varepsilon_Z(a^{Z,Z}_{(2)})1 \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)})a^{Z,Y}_{(3)} \cdot \Delta^Z_{X,Y}(S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(4)}))\\
&= 1 \otimes S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(1)})a^{Z,Y}_{(2)} \cdot \Delta^Z_{X,Y}(S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(3)}))\\
&= \Delta^Z_{X,Y}(S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}))
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves (2). □

The following result is useful to prove connectedness properties of cogroupoids, and also for the proof of Theorem 2.12

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cogroupoid and let $X,Y,Z \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. Assume that $\mathcal{C}(Z,Y) \neq (0)$ or $\mathcal{C}(X,Z) \neq (0)$. Then $\Delta^Z_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X,Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z,Y)$ is split injective, and induces a $\mathcal{C}(X,X) - \mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-bicomodule algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \cong \mathcal{C}(X,Z)\square_{\mathcal{C}(Z,Z)}\mathcal{C}(Z,Y)$$

Proof. Assume first that $\mathcal{C}(Z,Y) \neq (0)$, and let $\psi : \mathcal{C}(Z,Y) \longrightarrow k$ be a linear map such that $\psi(1) = 1$. Define $f : \mathcal{C}(X,Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z,Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ by

$$f(a^{X,Z} \otimes b^{Z,Y}) = \psi\left(S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(2)})b^{Z,Y}\right)a^{X,Y}_{(1)}$$

Then

$$f \circ \Delta^Z_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}) = f(a^{X,Z}_{(1)} \otimes a^{Z,Y}_{(2)}) = \psi\left(S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{(2)})a^{Z,Y}_{(3)}\right)a^{X,Y}_{(1)} = \varepsilon_Y(a^{Y,Y}_{(2)})a^{X,Y}_{(1)} = a^{X,Y}$$

This proves that $\Delta^Z_{X,Y}$ is split injective. We know from Proposition 2.2 that $\Delta^Z_{X,Y}$ is a $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$-$\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-bicomodule algebra morphism and that $\Delta^Z_{X,Y}(\mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \subset \mathcal{C}(X,Z)\square_{\mathcal{C}(Z,Z)}\mathcal{C}(Z,Y)$. Let $\sum_i a_i^{X,Z} \otimes b_i^{Z,Y} \in \mathcal{C}(X,Z)\square_{\mathcal{C}(Z,Z)}\mathcal{C}(Z,Y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^Z_{X,Y} \circ f(\sum_i a_i^{X,Z} \otimes b_i^{Z,Y}) &= \Delta^Z_{X,Y}\left(\sum_i \psi\left(S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{i(2)})b_i^{Z,Y}\right)a^{X,Y}_{i(1)}\right)\\
&= \sum_i \psi\left(S_{Y,Z}(a^{Y,Z}_{i(3)})b_i^{Z,Y}\right)a^{X,Z}_{i(1)} \otimes a^{Z,X}_{i(2)} = \sum_i \psi\left(S_{Y,Z}(b^{Y,Z}_{i(2)})b^{Z,Y}_{i(3)}\right)a_i^{X,Z} \otimes b^{Z,Y}_{i(1)}\\
&= \sum_i \varepsilon_Y(b^{Y,Y}_{i(2)})a^{X,Z}_{i(1)} \otimes b^{Z,Y}_{i(1)} = \sum_i a_i^{X,Z} \otimes b_i^{Z,Y}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. Assume now that $\mathcal{C}(X,Z) \neq (0)$, and let $\phi : \mathcal{C}(X,Z) \longrightarrow k$ be a linear map such that $\phi(1) = 1$. Define $g : \mathcal{C}(X,Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z,Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ by

$$g(a^{X,Z} \otimes b^{Z,Y}) = \phi\left(a^{X,Z}S_{Z,X}(b^{Z,X}_{(1)})\right)b^{X,Y}_{(2)}$$

Then

$$g \circ \Delta^Z_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}) = g(a^{X,Z}_{(1)} \otimes a^{Z,Y}_{(2)}) = \phi\left(a^{X,Z}_{(1)} S_{Z,X}(a^{Z,X}_{(2)})\right) a^{X,Y}_{(3)} = \varepsilon_X(a^{X,X}_{(1)}) a^{X,Y}_{(2)} = a^{X,Y}$$

and hence $\Delta^Z_{X,Y}$ is split injective. The rest of the proof is then similar to the previous case, and is left to the reader. $\square$

We get a useful criterion to show that a cogroupoid is connected.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cogroupoid. The following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) *$\mathcal{C}$ is connected.*
(2) *There exists $X_0 \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\forall Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathcal{C}(X_0, Y) \neq (0)$.*
(3) *There exists $X_0 \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\forall Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathcal{C}(Y, X_0) \neq (0)$.*

Proof. Assume that (2) holds. Then for $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the previous lemma ensures that

$$\mathcal{C}(X_0, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X_0, Y) \oplus W$$

for some vector space W. Hence $\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \neq (0)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is connected.

Assume that (3) holds. Then for $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the previous lemma ensures that

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X_0) \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, X_0) \oplus W'$$

for some vector space W'. Hence $\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \neq (0)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is connected. $\square$

We now provide a self-contained proof of Theorem 2.12.

Proof of Theorem 2.12. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected cogroupoid and let $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. By Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 1.19 we have two k-linear functors

$$F : \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)), V \longmapsto V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$$

$$G : \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X)), V \longmapsto V \square_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \mathcal{C}(Y,X)$$

Let us prove that these functors are inverse equivalences. Let $V \in \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X))$. We have a $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$-colinear isomorphism $\theta_V : V \cong G \circ F(V)$ defined by the composition:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, "\cong"] & V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,X) \arrow[d, "1 \otimes \Delta^Y_{X,X}"] & \\
& V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \left(\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \square_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \mathcal{C}(Y,X)\right) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \left(V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} (\mathcal{C}(X,Y)\right) \square_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \mathcal{C}(Y,X) = G \circ F(V)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the first isomorphism is induced by the $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$-coaction on V, the second map is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.14 ($\Delta^Y_{X,X}$ is $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$-colinear), and the third isomorphism is the associativity isomorphism of cotensor products. This isomorphism is clearly natural in V, so we have a functor isomorphism $\mathrm{id} \cong G \circ F$, and we also have $\mathrm{id} \cong F \circ G$, so F and G are inverse equivalences.

We know from Proposition 1.20 that F and G are monoidal functors, and it is easy to check that the above functor isomorphisms are isomorphisms of monoidal functors. $\square$

Remark 2.16. It is not difficult (and probably interesting) to give a proof of the fact that F and G are monoidal functors without using Proposition 1.20 (and hence Ulbrich's Theorem) directly from the cogroupoid axioms.

We have to check that for $V, W \in \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X))$ the map

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{F}_{V,W} : (V \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \otimes (W \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y)) &\longrightarrow (V \otimes W) \square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \\
(\sum_i v_i \otimes a_i^{X,Y}) \otimes (\sum_j w_j \otimes b_j^{X,Y}) &\longmapsto \sum_{i,j} v_i \otimes w_j \otimes a_i^{X,Y} b_j^{X,Y}
\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. It is immediate to check that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
GF(V)\otimes GF(W) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{G}_{F(V),F(W)}"] & G(F(V)\otimes F(W)) \arrow[d, "G(\widetilde{F}_{V,W})"] \\
V\otimes W \arrow[u, "\theta_V\otimes\theta_W"] \arrow[r, "\theta_{V\otimes W}"'] & GF(V\otimes W)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(with the previous notation) and hence $G(\widetilde{F}_{V,W})\circ\widetilde{G}_{F(V),F(W)}$ is an isomorphism. The same reasoning for G shows that $F(\widetilde{G}_{F(V),F(W)})\circ\widetilde{F}_{GF(V),GF(W)}$ is an isomorphism. We conclude that $\widetilde{G}_{F(V),F(W)}$ is an isomorphism and hence so is $\tilde{F}_{V,W}$.

Remark 2.17. Let us say that a cocategory $\mathcal{C}$ is a Takeuchi cocategory if for all $X,Y,Z\in\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ the algebra map

$$\Delta_{X,Y}^{Z}:\mathcal{C}(X,Y)\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}(X,Z)\square_{\mathcal{C}(Z,Z)}\mathcal{C}(Z,Y)$$

is an isomorphism. This terminology comes from the fact that every pair of objects in a Takeuchi cocategory produces a set of equivalence data in the sense of [54] (a Morita-Takeuchi equivalence). Lemma 2.14 ensures that a connected cogroupoid is a Takeuchi cocategory and the proof that the functors of Theorem 2.12 are inverse equivalences is just the classical proof that a Morita-Takeuchi equivalence produces an equivalence of categories. The proof of monoidality we gave in the last remark shows that if X,Y are objects of a Takeuchi cocategory $\mathcal{C}$ the comodule categories over the bialgebras $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$ are monoidally equivalent.

2.4. **From Hopf-Galois objects and monoidal equivalences to cogroupoids.** In this subsection we give (sketches of) the proofs of Theorem 2.11 and of the implication (1) ⇒ (2) in Theorem 2.10. The techniques used here are never used in the rest of the paper, so the reader who would prefer to see examples and applications might skip the subsection first, and get back if he wants or needs to.

We use Tannaka-Krein reconstruction techniques (see [30, 47]). Let us begin with the following general situation. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small category and let $F,G:\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$ be some functors. Following [30], Section 3, we associate a vector space $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ to such a pair:

$$\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)=\bigoplus_{X\in\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})}\mathrm{Hom}_k(F(X),G(X))/\mathcal{N}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the linear subspace of $\bigoplus_{X\in\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})}\mathrm{Hom}_k(F(X),G(X))$ generated by the elements $G(f)\circ u-u\circ F(f)$, with $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ and $u\in\mathrm{Hom}_k(F(Y),G(X))$. The class of an element $u\in\mathrm{Hom}_k(F(X),G(X))$ is denoted by $[X,u]$ in $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ (note that we have changed the order of the functors in [30]: our $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ is $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(G,F)$ in [30]). The vector space $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ has the following universal property.

Lemma 2.18. *The vector space* $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ *represents the functor*

$$\mathrm{Vect}_f(k)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Vect}(k),\quad V\longmapsto\mathrm{Nat}(G,F\otimes V)$$

More precisely, there exists $\alpha_\bullet\in\mathrm{Nat}(G,F\otimes\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G))$ *such that the map*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G),V)&\longrightarrow\mathrm{Nat}(G,F\otimes V)\\ \phi&\longmapsto(1\otimes\phi)\circ\alpha_\bullet\end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

Proof. Let X in $\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and let $e_1,\dots,e_n$ be a basis of $F(X)$. Define

$$\alpha_X:G(X)\longrightarrow F(X)\otimes\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$$

by $\alpha_X(x)=\sum_i e_i\otimes[X,e_i^*\otimes x]$. It is easily seen that α_X does not depend on the choice of a basis of $G(X)$, and that this procedure defines an element $\alpha_\bullet\in\mathrm{Nat}(G,F\otimes\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G))$. It is not difficult to check that the map in the statement of the lemma is a bijection. □

The universal property of $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ gives, for any functor $K : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$, a linear map

$$\Delta^K_{F,G} : \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,K) \otimes \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(K,G)$$

coassociative in the sense of cocategories. The map $\Delta^K_{F,G}$ may be described as follows. Let $X \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, let $\phi \in F(X)^*$, let $x \in G(X)$ and let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a basis of $K(X)$. Then

$$\Delta^K_{F,G}([X, \phi \otimes x]) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X, \phi \otimes e_i] \otimes [X, e_i^* \otimes x].$$

As a particular case of the previous construction, $\mathsf{End}^\vee(F) := \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,F)$ is a coalgebra, with counit ε_X induced by the trace: $\varepsilon_X([X,u]) = \mathrm{tr}(u)$ for $u \in \mathrm{Hom}(F(X), F(X))$.

The previous construction enables one to reconstruct a coalgebra from its category of finite-dimensional comodules and the forgetful functor: this the Tannaka reconstruction theorem.

Theorem 2.19. *Let C be a coalgebra and let $\Omega^C : \mathrm{Comod}_f(C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$ be the forgetful functor. We have a coalgebra isomorphism $\mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^C) \cong C$.*

Proof. Consider the natural transformation $\alpha^C : \Omega^C \longrightarrow \Omega^C \otimes C$ induced by the coactions of C on its comodules. The universal property of $\mathsf{End}^\vee$ yields a unique linear map $f : \mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^C) \longrightarrow C$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^C \arrow[rr, "\alpha_\bullet"] \arrow[dr, "\alpha^C"'] & & \Omega^C \otimes \mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^C) \arrow[dl, "1 \otimes f"] \\
& \Omega^C \otimes C &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is easy to see that f is a coalgebra morphism. To prove that f is an isomorphism, we proceed by following [47], Lemma 2.2.1 (for another proof see Section 6 in [30]). Consider, for a vector space V, the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathrm{Hom}_k(C, V) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Nat}(\Omega^C, \Omega^C \otimes V) \\ \phi &\longmapsto (1 \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha^C \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that Φ is bijection. This will define a linear map $C \longrightarrow \mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^C)$ which necessarily will be an inverse of f. To construct the inverse of Φ, the key remark is that if $\varphi \in \mathrm{Nat}(\Omega^C, \Omega^C \otimes V)$ and N, M are two finite-dimensional subcomodules of C, then

$$((\varepsilon \otimes 1) \circ \varphi_N)_{|N \cap M} = (\varepsilon \otimes 1) \circ \varphi_{N \cap M} = ((\varepsilon \otimes 1) \circ \varphi_M)_{|N \cap M}$$

This follows from the naturality of φ. This enables to define a (linear) map

$$\Psi : \mathrm{Nat}(\Omega^C, \Omega^C \otimes V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(C, V)$$

by $\Psi(\varphi)(x) = (\varepsilon \otimes 1) \circ \varphi_M(x)$, $\forall x \in C$, where M is any finite-dimensional subcomodule of C containing x.

For $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(C, V)$, $x \in C$ and M a finite-dimensional subcomodule of C containing x, we have

$$\phi(x) = \phi((1 \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \alpha^C_M(x)) = (\varepsilon \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha^C_M(x) = \Psi(\Phi(\phi))(x)$$

which proves that $\Psi \circ \Phi = \mathrm{id}$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Nat}(\Omega^C, \Omega^C \otimes V)$. Let M be a finite-dimensional comodule and let D be a finite-dimensional subcoalgebra of C such that $\alpha^C(M) \subset M \otimes D$. Then D is a subcomodule of C. Denote by $M_0 \otimes D$ the C-comodule whose coaction is given by $1 \otimes \Delta$. It is clear that $\alpha^C_M : M \longrightarrow M_0 \otimes D$ is a C-comodule map, hence by naturality of φ the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\varphi_M"] \arrow[d, "\alpha^C_M"] & M \otimes V \arrow[d, "\alpha^C_M \otimes 1"] \\
M_0 \otimes D \arrow[r, "\varphi_{M_0 \otimes D}"] & M_0 \otimes D \otimes V
\end{tikzcd}$$

Any linear map $D \longrightarrow M_0 \otimes D$ is C-colinear, hence again the naturality of φ shows that $\varphi_{M_0 \otimes D} = 1 \otimes \varphi_D$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi \circ \Psi(\varphi)_M &= (1 \otimes \Psi(\varphi)) \circ \alpha_M^C = (1 \otimes \Psi(\varphi)_{|D}) \circ \alpha_M^C \\ &= (1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \varphi_D) \circ \alpha_M^C = (1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1) \circ (\alpha_M^C \otimes 1) \circ \varphi_M = \varphi_M\end{aligned}$$

and this proves that $\Phi \circ \Psi = \mathrm{id}$. We conclude that Φ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Now assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal category and that F, G are monoidal functors. Then $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ inherits an algebra structure, whose product may be described by the following formula:

$$[X,u].[Y,v] = [X \otimes Y, \widetilde{G}_{X,Y} \circ (u \otimes v) \circ \widetilde{F}_{X,Y}^{-1}]$$

where the isomorphisms $\widetilde{F}_{X,Y} : F(X) \otimes F(Y) \longrightarrow F(X \otimes Y)$ and $\widetilde{G}_{X,Y} : G(X) \otimes G(Y) \longrightarrow G(X \otimes Y)$ are the constraints of the monoidal functors F and G. The unit element is $[I, \widetilde{G}_0 \circ \widetilde{F}_0^{-1}]$ where I stands for the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{C}$ (that we indeed have an associative algebra structure heavily depends on the fact that F and G are monoidal functors). It is not difficult to check that the maps $\Delta_{F,G}^K$ and ε_F are algebra maps. In particular $\mathsf{End}^\vee(F)$ is a bialgebra.

We summarize the above constructions as follows.

Definition 2.20. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category. The cocategory $\mathrm{Mon}_k(\mathcal{C})$ is the cocategory whose objects are the monoidal functors $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$, with $\mathrm{Mon}_k(\mathcal{C})(F,G) = \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G)$ for $F, G \in \mathrm{Mon}_k(\mathcal{C})$, and with structural maps $\Delta_{\bullet,\bullet}^\bullet$ and $\varepsilon_\bullet$ defined above.*

We shall need a monoidal version of Lemma 2.18. If A is an algebra and $F, G : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$ are monoidal functors, denote by $\mathrm{Nat}_\otimes(G, F \otimes A)$ the set of elements $\theta \in \mathrm{Nat}(G, F \otimes A)$ such that the following diagrams commute for any objects X,Y

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G(X \otimes Y) \arrow[r, "\theta_{X \otimes Y}"] & F(X \otimes Y) \otimes A \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}_{X,Y}^{-1}"] & F(X) \otimes F(Y) \otimes A \\
G(X) \otimes G(Y) \arrow[u, "\widetilde{G}_{X,Y}"] \arrow[r, "\theta_X \otimes \theta_Y"] & F(X) \otimes A \otimes F(Y) \otimes A \arrow[r, "1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1"] & F(X) \otimes F(Y) \otimes A \otimes A \arrow[u, "1 \otimes 1 \otimes m_A"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(I) \arrow[r, "\theta_I"] & G(I) \otimes A \\
k \arrow[u, "\widetilde{F}_0"] \arrow[r, "u"] & A \cong k \otimes A \arrow[u, "\widetilde{G}_0 \otimes 1"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 2.21. *Consider the element $\alpha_\bullet \in \mathrm{Nat}(G, F \otimes \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G))$ of Lemma 2.18. We have $\alpha_\bullet \in \mathrm{Nat}_\otimes(G, F \otimes \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G))$ and we have, for any algebra A, a map*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{k-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G), A) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Nat}_\otimes(G, F \otimes A) \\ \phi &\longmapsto (1 \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha_\bullet\end{aligned}$$

which is a bijection.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. $\square$

The bialgebra version of the Tannaka duality theorem is as follows.

Theorem 2.22. *Let B be a bialgebra and let $\Omega^B : \mathrm{Comod}_f(B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$ be the forgetful functor. We have a bialgebra isomorphism $\mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^B) \cong B$.*

Proof. The natural transformation $\alpha^B : \Omega^B \longrightarrow \Omega^B \otimes B$ induced by the coactions of B on its comodules is an element in $\mathrm{Nat}_\otimes(\Omega^B, \Omega^B \otimes B)$, by definition of the tensor product of B-comodules. Hence Lemma 2.21 yields a unique algebra map map $f : \mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^B) \longrightarrow B$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^C \arrow[rr, "\alpha_\bullet"] \arrow[dr, "\alpha^C"'] & & \Omega^C \otimes \mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^C) \arrow[dl, "1\otimes f"] \\
& \Omega^C \otimes C &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We know from the proof of Lemma 2.21 that f is a colagebra isomorphism, and hence is a bialgebra isomorphism. $\square$

Assume moreover that $\mathcal{C}$ is a rigid monoidal category. This means that every object X has a left dual ([30, 32]), i.e. there exist a triplet (X^*, e_X, d_X) where $X^* \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, while $e_X : X^* \otimes X \longrightarrow I$ (I is the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{C}$) and $d_X : I \longrightarrow X \otimes X^*$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ such that:

$$(1_X \otimes e_X) \circ (d_X \otimes 1_X) = 1_X \quad \text{and} \quad (e_X \otimes 1_{X^*}) \circ (1_{X^*} \otimes d_X) = 1_{X^*}$$

The rigidity of $\mathcal{C}$ allows one to define a duality endofunctor of $\mathcal{C}$, which will be used in the proof of the following result, which generalizes [60], using the same idea.

Proposition 2.23. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rigid monoidal category. Then the cocategory $\mathrm{Mon}_k(\mathcal{C})$ has a cogroupoid structure.*

Proof. We have to construct the linear maps $S_{F,G} : \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(F,G) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}^\vee(G,F)$. We fix for every $X \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ a left dual (X^*, e_X, d_X) (with $I^* = I$ for the monoidal unit). This defines a contravariant endofunctor of $\mathcal{C}$: $X \longmapsto X^*$, $f \longmapsto f^*$, where for $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, the morphism $f^* : Y^* \longrightarrow X^*$ is defined by the composition

$$Y^* \xrightarrow{1\otimes d_X} Y^* \otimes (X \otimes X^*) \xrightarrow{1\otimes(f\otimes 1)} Y^* \otimes (Y \otimes X^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} (Y^* \otimes Y) \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{e_Y\otimes 1} I \otimes X^* \cong X^*$$

Let $X \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. The unicity of a left dual in a monoidal category yields natural isomorphisms

$$\lambda_X^F : F(X)^* \longrightarrow F(X^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_X^G : G(X)^* \longrightarrow G(X^*)$$

such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X)^* \otimes F(X) \arrow[r, "e_{F(X)}"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_X^F \otimes 1_{F(X)}"] & I \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}_0"] & F(I) \\
F(X^*) \otimes F(X) \arrow[rr, "\widetilde{F}_{X^*,X}"] & & F(X^* \otimes X) \arrow[u, "F(e_X)"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \otimes F(X)^* \arrow[d, "1_{F(X)} \otimes \lambda_X^F"] & I \arrow[l, "d_{F(X)}"'] \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}_0"] & F(I) \arrow[d, "F(d_X)"] \\
F(X) \otimes F(X^*) \arrow[rr, "\widetilde{F}_{X,X^*}"] & & F(X \otimes X^*)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(we have endowed $\mathrm{Vect}_f(k)$ with its standard duality). Let $u \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(F(X), G(X))$. We put

$$S_{F,G}([X,u]) = [X^*, \lambda_X^F \circ u^* \circ (\lambda_X^G)^{-1}]$$

It is easy to see that $S_{F,G}$ is a well defined linear map. Now let $\phi \in F(X)^*$, let $x \in F(X)$ and let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be a basis of $G(X)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
m \circ (1 \otimes S_{G,F}) \circ \Delta_{F,F}^G([X, \phi \otimes x]) &= \sum_{i=1}^n [X, \phi \otimes e_i][X^*, \lambda_X^G \circ (e_i^* \otimes x) \circ (\lambda_X^F)^{-1}] = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [X \otimes X^*, \widetilde{G}_{X,X^*} \circ (1_{G(X)} \otimes \lambda_X^G) \circ ((\phi \otimes e_i) \otimes (x \otimes e_i^*)) \circ (1_{F(X)} \otimes (\lambda_X^F)^{-1}) \circ \widetilde{F}_{X,X^*}^{-1}] \\
&= [X \otimes X^*, \widetilde{G}_{X,X^*} \circ (1_{G(X)} \otimes \lambda_X^G) \circ d_{G(X)} \circ (\phi \otimes x) \circ (1_{F(X)} \otimes (\lambda_X^F)^{-1}) \circ \widetilde{F}_{X,X^*}^{-1}] \\
&= [X \otimes X^*, G(d_X) \circ \widetilde{G}_0 \circ (\phi \otimes x) \circ (1_{F(X)} \otimes (\lambda_X^F)^{-1}) \circ \widetilde{F}_{X,X^*}^{-1}] \\
&= [I, \widetilde{G}_0 \circ (\phi \otimes x) \circ (1_{F(X)} \otimes (\lambda_X^F)^{-1}) \circ \widetilde{F}_{X,X^*}^{-1} \circ F(d_X)] \\
&= [I, \widetilde{G}_0 \circ (\phi \otimes x) \circ d_{F(X)} \circ \widetilde{F}_0^{-1}] = \phi(x)[I, \widetilde{G}_0 \circ \widetilde{F}_0^{-1}] = \varepsilon_F([X, \phi \otimes x])1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the elements $[X,\phi\otimes x]$ linearly span $\mathsf{End}^\vee(F)$, we have the commutativity of the first diagram. The commutativity of the second diagram is proved similarly. $\square$

Definition 2.24. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. The* ***fibre functor cogroupoid of*** H*, denoted* $\mathrm{Fib}(H)$*, is the full subcogroupoid of* $\mathrm{Mon}_k(\mathrm{Comod}_f(H))$ *whose objects are the fibre functors on* $\mathrm{Comod}_f(H)$*.*

We have now all the ingredients to prove Theorem 2.11.

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a left H-Galois object. Consider the full subcogroupoid $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathrm{Fib}(H)$ whose objects are Ω (the forgetful functor) and Ω^A (see Ulbrich's theorem). Let us check that $\mathcal{D}$ is connected. Let $\phi : A \longrightarrow k$ be a linear map such that $\phi(1)=1$. For any $X\in\mathrm{Comod}_f(H)$, the maps $1\otimes\phi : X\square_H A\to X$ define a non-zero element in $\mathrm{Nat}(\Omega^A,\Omega)$. Hence by Lemma 2.18 we have $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega^A)\neq(0)$ and the cogroupoid $\mathcal{D}$ is connected by Proposition 2.15.

For any $X\in\mathrm{Comod}_f(H)$, the inclusions $X\square_H A\subset X\otimes A$ define an element

$$\beta_\bullet\in\mathrm{Nat}_\otimes(\Omega^A,\Omega\otimes A)$$

which corresponds by Lemma 2.21 to an algebra map $g:\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega^A)\longrightarrow A$, and we leave it to the reader to check that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega^A) \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^\Omega_{\Omega,\Omega^A}"] & A \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\
\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega)\otimes\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega^A) \arrow[r, "f\otimes g"] & H\otimes A
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ρ stands for the coaction of H on A and f is the bialgebra isomorphism of Theorem 2.22. This means that if we endow $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega^A)$ with the left H-comodule algebra structure transported from the Hopf algebra isomorphism f, then g is an H-comodule algebra morphism. But $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega^A)$ is H-Galois since it is $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega)$-Galois (Proposition 2.8), and hence by Proposition 1.6 g is an isomorphism. We can now consider the connected cogroupoid $\mathcal{D}_0$ with two objects X,Y and

$$\mathcal{D}_0(X,X)=H,\ \mathcal{D}_0(X,Y)=A,\ \mathcal{D}_0(Y,X)=\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega^A,\Omega),\ \mathcal{D}_0(Y,Y)=\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega^A,\Omega^A)$$

The structural maps of $\mathcal{D}_0$ are transported from the connected cogroupoid $\mathcal{D}$ via the isomorphisms f and g, and we are done. $\square$

We get the following result as a corollary of the proof.

Proposition 2.25. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. The cogroupoid* $\mathrm{Fib}(H)$ *is connected.*

Proof. If A is a left H-Galois object, we have seen in the previous proof that $\mathsf{Hom}^\vee(\Omega,\Omega^A)\neq(0)$. Hence the result follows from Ulbrich's theorem (any fibre fonctor is isomorphic to some Ω^A) and Proposition 2.15. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Let H, L be some Hopf algebras and let $F:\mathrm{Comod}(H)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Comod}(L)$ be a k-linear monoidal equivalence. Then F induces a monoidal equivalence $\mathrm{Comod}_f(H)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Comod}_f(L)$, still denoted F (see Proposition 1.16). It is clear that $\Omega^L\circ F$ is a fibre functor on $\mathrm{Comod}_f(H)$. It is not difficult to construct a linear map $\mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^L)\longrightarrow\mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^L\circ F)$ which is a Hopf algebra map since F is monoidal and is an isomorphism since F is an equivalence. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the (connected) subcogroupoid of $\mathrm{Fib}(H)$ whose objects are Ω^H and $\Omega^L\circ F$. By Theorem 2.22 we have Hopf algebra isomorphisms $\mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^H)\cong H$ and $\mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^L)\cong L$, and hence $\mathsf{End}^\vee(\Omega^L\circ F)\cong L$. Therefore we get, by transporting the appropriate structures from the connected cogroupoid $\mathcal{D}$, a cogroupoid $\mathcal{D}_0$ with two objects X,Y such that $H=\mathcal{D}_0(X,X)$ and $L=\mathcal{D}_0(Y,Y)$. $\square$

2.5. **The weak Hopf algebra of a finite cogroupoid.** In this short subsection we connect the theory of cogroupoids (and hence of Hopf-Galois objects) with the theory of weak Hopf algebras [12] (we do not recall here the precise definition of a weak Hopf algebra). Since cogroupoids are non commutative generalizations of groupoids, it is natural to wonder if they are linked with weak Hopf algebras, one of the most well-known non commutative generalization of groupoids. Not surprisingly, the following construction shows that this is indeed the case. The (unpublished) result was obtained in collaboration with Grunspan in 2003-2004.

Proposition 2.26. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cogroupoid and let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, Put $\mathcal{C}(i,j) = \mathcal{C}(X_i, X_j)$, $\Delta^k_{i,j} = \Delta^{X_k}_{X_i,X_j}$, $S_{i,j} = S_{X_i,X_j}$, $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{X_i}$. Consider the direct sum of algebras*

$$H = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}(i,j)$$

Then H has a weak Hopf algebra structure defined as follows.

1. *The comultiplication $\Delta : H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$ is defined by*
$$\Delta(a^{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta^k_{i,j}(a^{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a^{i,k}_{(1)} \otimes a^{k,j}_{(2)}$$
2. *The counit $\varepsilon : H \longrightarrow k$ is defined by $\varepsilon_{|\mathcal{C}(i,j)} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$*
3. *The antipode $S : H \longrightarrow H$ is defined by $S_{|\mathcal{C}(i,j)} = S_{i,j}$.*

The proof is done by a straightforward verification.

Remark 2.27.
1. The use of quantum groupoids (in a different framework) in the study of monoidal equivalences also arose (much earlier) in the work of Bruguières [13].
2. It is also worth to note that De Commer [17, 18] obtained independently more or less the same result in his investigation of Galois objects for multiplier Hopf algebras and operator algebraic quantum groups.

3. Examples of connected cogroupoids

This section is devoted to the presentation of some examples of connected cogroupoids. We hope that these examples will convince that the reader that very often in concrete situations it is easy and natural to work with cogroupoids.

3.1. **The bilinear cogroupoid $\mathcal{B}$.** Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is the algebra presented by generators $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ submitted to the relations

$$E^{-1}a^tEa = I_n = aE^{-1}a^tE,$$

where a is the matrix $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$, a^t is the transpose matrix and I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

It admits a Hopf algebra structure, with comultiplication Δ defined by

$$\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj}, \ \varepsilon(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \ S(a) = E^{-1}a^tE$$

This Hopf algebra was introduced by Dubois-Violette and Launer [20] as the *quantum automorphism group of the non degenerate bilinear form associated to E*. This terminology comes from the following result.

Proposition 3.1 (Universal property of $\mathcal{B}(E)$).

1. *Consider the vector space $V = k^n$ with its canonical basis $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Endow V with the $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule structure defined by $\alpha(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j \otimes a_{ji}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the linear map $\beta : V \otimes V \longrightarrow k$ defined by $\beta(e_i \otimes e_j) = \lambda_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where $E = (\lambda_{ij})$, is a $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule morphism.*

(2) *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let V be a finite-dimensional H-comodule of dimension n. Let $\beta : V \otimes V \longrightarrow k$ be an H-comodule morphism such that the associate bilinear form is non-degenerate. Then there exists $E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ such that V is a $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule, that β is a $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule morphism, and that there exists a unique Hopf algebra morphism $\phi : \mathcal{B}(E) \longrightarrow H$ with $(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha = \alpha'$, where α and α' denote the coactions on V of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and H respectively.*

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise. $\square$

It is not difficult to check that $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k)) = \mathcal{B}(E_q)$, where

$$E_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{B}(E)$ are generalizations of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$.

We now will describe $\mathcal{B}(E)$ as part of a cogroupoid. We first need a version involving two matrices, as follows.

Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ and let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. The algebra $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ is the universal algebra with generators a_{ij}, $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$, satisfying the relations

$$F^{-1}a^tEa = I_n \ ; \ aF^{-1}a^tE = I_m.$$

Of course the generator a_{ij} in $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ should be denoted $a_{ij}^{E,F}$ to express the dependence on E and F, but when there is no confusion and we simply denote it by a_{ij}. It is clear that $\mathcal{B}(E,E) = \mathcal{B}(E)$

In the following lemma we construct the structural maps that will put the algebras $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ in a cogroupoid framework.

Lemma 3.2. (1) *For any $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, $G \in \mathrm{GL}_p(k)$, there exists an algebra map*

$$\Delta_{E,F}^{G} : \mathcal{B}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(E,G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(G,F)$$

$$a_{ij} \longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj}$$

and for any $M \in \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}(E,F) \arrow[r, "\Delta_{E,F}^{G}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_{E,F}^{M}"'] & \mathcal{B}(E,G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(G,F) \arrow[d, "\Delta_{E,G}^{M} \otimes 1"'] \\
\mathcal{B}(E,M) \otimes \mathcal{B}(M,F) \arrow[r, "1 \otimes \Delta_{M,F}^{G}"] & \mathcal{B}(E,M) \otimes \mathcal{B}(M,G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(G,F)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}(E,F) \arrow[d, "\Delta_{E,F}^{F}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{B}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{B}(F,F) \arrow[r, "1 \otimes \varepsilon_F"] & \mathcal{B}(E,F)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}(E,F) \arrow[d, "\Delta_{E,F}^{E}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{B}(E,E) \otimes \mathcal{B}(E,F) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_E \otimes 1"] & \mathcal{B}(E,F)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ε_E is the counit of $\mathcal{B}(E)$.

(2) *For any $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, there exists an algebra map*

$$S_{E,F} : \mathcal{B}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(F,E)^{\mathrm{op}}$$

$$a \longmapsto E^{-1}a^tF$$

such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}(E,E) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_E"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_{E,E}^{F}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{B}(E,F) \\
\mathcal{B}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{B}(F,E) \arrow[rr, "1 \otimes S_{F,E}"] & & \mathcal{B}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{B}(E,F) \arrow[u, "m"]
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}(E,E) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_E"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_{E,E}^{F}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{B}(F,E) \\
\mathcal{B}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{B}(F,E) \arrow[rr, "S_{E,F} \otimes 1"] & & \mathcal{B}(F,E) \otimes \mathcal{B}(F,E) \arrow[u, "m"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. It is a straighforward verification to construct the announced algebra maps: this is left to the reader. The maps involved in the diagrams of part (1) all are algebra maps, and hence it is enough to check the commutativity on the generators of $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$, which is obvious. For the diagrams of part (2), the commutativity follows from the immediate verification on the generators of $\mathcal{B}(E,E)$ and the fact that $\Delta^{\bullet}_{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $S_{\bullet,\bullet}$ are algebra maps. □

Hence the lemma ensures that we have a cogroupoid.

Definition 3.3. *The cogroupoid $\mathcal{B}$ is the cogroupoid defined as follows:*

1. $\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{B}) = \{E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k),\ n \geq 1\}$,
2. *For $E, F \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{B})$, the algebra $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ is the algebra defined above,*
3. *The structural maps $\Delta^{\bullet}_{\bullet,\bullet}$, $\varepsilon_{\bullet}$ and $S_{\bullet,\bullet}$ are defined in the previous lemma.*

So we have a cogroupoid linking all the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{B}(E)$, and the natural next question is to study the connectedness of $\mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ with $m, n \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{B}(E,F) \neq (0)$ if and only if $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$.*

Proof. It is left as an exercise to check that if $\mathcal{B}(E,F) \neq (0)$ then $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$. Conversely, assume that $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$. To show that $\mathcal{B}(E,F) \neq (0)$ we can assume that k is algebraically closed and hence that there exists $q \in k^*$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = -q - q^{-1} = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$. It is shown in [8], by using the diamond lemma, that $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F) \neq (0)$ and hence by Proposition 2.15 we conclude that $\mathcal{B}(E,F) \neq (0)$. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\lambda \in k$. Consider the full subcogroupoid $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ of $\mathcal{B}$ with objects*

$$\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{B}^\lambda) = \{E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k),\ n \geq 2, \mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \lambda\}$$

Then $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is a connected cogroupoid.

In particular for $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ with $n, m \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$, then $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ is a $\mathcal{B}(E)$-$\mathcal{B}(F)$-bi-Galois object and is not cleft if $m \neq n$.

Proof. It follows from the previous lemma and Proposition 2.8 that $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ is a $\mathcal{B}(E)$-$\mathcal{B}(F)$-bi-Galois object if $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$. Let us check that it is not cleft if $m \neq n$. For $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, let V_E be the m-dimensional $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule with basis $v_1^E, \dots, v_m^E$ and with $\mathcal{B}(E)$-coaction $\alpha(v_i^E) = \sum_j v_j^E \otimes a_{ji}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta : \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(E)) &\cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(F)) \\ V &\longmapsto V\Box_{\mathcal{B}(E)}\mathcal{B}(E,F) \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \Theta' : \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(F)) &\cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(E)) \\ V &\longmapsto V\Box_{\mathcal{B}(F)}\mathcal{B}(F,E) \end{aligned}$$

be the inverse monoidal equivalences induced by the bi-Galois objects $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ and $\mathcal{B}(F,E)$ (see Theorem 2.12). We shall show that $\Theta(V_E) \cong V_F$, which by Theorem 1.17, will prove that $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ is not a cleft $\mathcal{B}(E)$-Galois object. It is easy to check that the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_F : V_F &\longrightarrow \Theta(V_E) = V_E\Box_{\mathcal{B}(E)}\mathcal{B}(E,F) \\ v_j^F &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i^E \otimes a_{ij}^{E,F} \end{aligned}$$

is $\mathcal{B}(F)$-colinear. We get a sequence of colinear maps

$$V_F \xrightarrow{\nu_F} \Theta(V_E) \xrightarrow{\Theta(\nu_E)} \Theta\Theta'(V_F) \xrightarrow{\simeq} V_F$$

whose composition is the identity map, as shown by the following concrete computation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V_F & \longrightarrow & V_E\Box_{\mathcal{B}(E)}\mathcal{B}(E,F) & \longrightarrow & \left(V_F\Box_{\mathcal{B}(F)}\mathcal{B}(F,E)\right)\Box_{\mathcal{B}(E)}\mathcal{B}(E,F) & \cong & V_F \\ v_j^F & \longmapsto & \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i^E \otimes a_{ij}^{E,F} & \longmapsto & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k^E \otimes a_{ki}^{F,E} \otimes a_{ij}^{E,F} & \longleftarrow & v_j^F \end{array}$$

Hence ν_F is injective, $\Theta(\nu_E)$ is surjective, and ν_F is surjective by the symmetric argument. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ and let $q \in k^*$ be such that $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = -q - q^{-1}$. Then we have a k-linear equivalence of monoidal categories*

$$\mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(E)) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$$

Proof. This follows from the previous corollary and Corollary 2.9. $\square$

This result has a number of interesting consequences in characteristic zero:

1. ([8]) Any cosemisimple Hopf algebra having a (co-)representation semi-ring isomorphic to the one of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(E)$ for some matrix $E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ ($n \geq 2$) such that the solutions of $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = -q - q^{-1}$ are generic (i.e. $q = \pm 1$ or q is not a root of unity).
2. ([8]) For $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $\mathcal{B}(F)$ are isomorphic if and only if $m = n$ and there exists $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ such that $F = PEP^t$ (i.e. the bilinear forms associated to E and F are equivalent, by [46] this is equivalent so say that the matrices $E^{-1}E^t$ and $F^{-1}F^t$ are conjugate).
3. ([7]) For any $m \geq 1$, there exists cosemisimple Hopf algebras having an antipode of order $2m$.

We shall also see in Section 4 that one can deduce easily the classification of Galois objects over $\mathcal{B}(E)$ from these results.

3.2. **The universal cosovereign cogroupoid $\mathcal{H}$.** We present in this subsection another example of cogroupoid involving non cleft Galois objects. This is also an occasion to advertize on an interesting but not very well known class of Hopf algebras.

let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. The algebra $\mathcal{H}(F)$ [6] is defined to be the universal algebra with generators $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$, $(v_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ and relations:

$$uv^t = v^t u = I_n \quad ; \quad vFu^tF^{-1} = Fu^tF^{-1}v = I_n,$$

where $u = (u_{ij})$, $v = (v_{ij})$ and I_n is the identity $n \times n$ matrix. The algebra $\mathcal{H}(F)$ has a Hopf algebra structure defined by

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}, \ \Delta(v_{ij}) = \sum_k v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj}, \ \varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \varepsilon(v_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \ S(u) = {}^t v, \ S(v) = F\,{}^t u F^{-1}$$

Furthermore, $H(F)$ is a cosovereign Hopf algebra (see [6] for the precise meaning): in particular this means that any finite-dimensional $\mathcal{H}(F)$-comodule is isomorphic to its bidual (let us say that a Hopf algebra having this property is **coreflexive**). The Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}(F)$ are called the universal cosovereign Hopf algebras in [6] because they have the following universal property.

Proposition 3.7. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let V be a finite dimensional H-comodule isomorphic to its bidual comodule V^{**}. Then there exists a matrix $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ ($n = \dim V$) such that V is an $\mathcal{H}(F)$-comodule and such that there exists a unique Hopf algebra morphism $\pi : \mathcal{H}(F) \longrightarrow H$ satisfying $(1_V \otimes \pi) \circ \beta_V = \alpha_V$, where $\alpha_V : V \longrightarrow V \otimes H$ and $\beta_V : V \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{H}(F)$ denote the coactions of H and $H(F)$ on V respectively.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[rr, "\beta_V"] \arrow[dr, "\alpha_V"'] & & V \otimes \mathcal{H}(F) \arrow[dl, dashed, "1_V \otimes f"] \\
& V \otimes H &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, every finitely generated coreflexive Hopf algebra is a homomorphic quotient of some Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(F)$.

Proof. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a basis of V and let x_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ be elements of H such that $\alpha_V(e_i) = \sum_k e_k \otimes x_{ki}$, $\forall i$. Put $y_{ij} = S(x_{ji})$. Then we have $xy^t = I_n = y^t x$ for the matrices $x = (x_{ij})$ and $y = (y_{ij})$ since H is a Hopf algebra. Let $f : V \longrightarrow V^{**}$ be an H-colinear isomorphism, with $f(e_i) = \sum_k \lambda_{ki} e_k$, for $M = (\lambda_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$. The H-colinearity of f means that $S^2(x)M = Mx$, i.e. $S(y)^t = S^2(x) = MxM^{-1}$. We also have $yS(y) = I_n = S(y)y$ since

H is a Hopf algebra, so we get $yM^{-1^t}x^tM^t = I_n = M^{-1^t}x^tM^ty$. Hence we get a Hopf algebra map $\pi : H(M^{-1^t}) \longrightarrow H$ such $\pi(u_{ij}) = x_{ij}$ and $\pi(v_{ij}) = y_{ij}$. An $H(M^{-1^t})$-comodule structure on V is defined by letting $\beta_V(e_i) = \sum_k e_k \otimes u_{ki}$, and it is clear that π satisfies the property in the statement, while uniqueness is clear. The last assertion follows from the previous one and the fact that a Hopf algebra that is finitely generated as an algebra is generated (as a Hopf algebra) by the coefficients of one finite-dimensional comodule. $\square$

The above universal property indicates that the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}(F)$ are the quantum analogues of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$ (as soon as we believe that a finite-dimensional representation of a quantum group should be isomorphic with its bidual).

Similarly to the previous subsection, we describe $\mathcal{H}(F)$ as part of a cogroupoid, and we begin with a generalization involving two matrices.

Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ and let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. The algebra $\mathcal{H}(E,F)$ is the algebra presented by generators u_{ij}, v_{ij}, $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and submitted to the relations

$$uv^t = I_m = vFu^tE^{-1} \quad ; \quad v^tu = I_n = Fu^tE^{-1}v.$$

When $E = F$, we have $\mathcal{H}(F,F) = \mathcal{H}(F)$.

The structural morphisms of the corresponding cogroupoid are constructed in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. (1) *For any $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, $G \in \mathrm{GL}_p(k)$, there exists an algebra map*

$$\Delta^G_{E,F} : \mathcal{H}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(E,G) \otimes \mathcal{H}(G,F)$$

$$u_{ij},\ v_{ij} \longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{p} u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj},\ \sum_{k=1}^{p} v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj}$$

and for any $M \in \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}(E,F) \arrow[r, "\Delta^G_{E,F}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^M_{E,F}"'] & \mathcal{H}(E,G) \otimes \mathcal{H}(G,F) \arrow[d, "\Delta^M_{E,G}\otimes 1"'] \\
\mathcal{H}(E,M) \otimes \mathcal{H}(M,F) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\Delta^G_{M,F}"] & \mathcal{H}(E,M) \otimes \mathcal{H}(M,G) \otimes \mathcal{H}(G,F)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}(E,F) \arrow[d, "\Delta^F_{E,F}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{H}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{H}(F,F) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\varepsilon_F"] & \mathcal{H}(E,F)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}(E,F) \arrow[d, "\Delta^E_{E,F}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{H}(E,E) \otimes \mathcal{H}(E,F) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_E\otimes 1"] & \mathcal{H}(E,F)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *For any $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, there exists an algebra map*

$$S_{E,F} : \mathcal{H}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(F,E)^{\mathrm{op}}$$

$$u,\ v \longmapsto v^t,\ Eu^tF^{-1}$$

such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}(E,E) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_E"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^F_{E,E}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{H}(E,F) \\
\mathcal{H}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{H}(F,E) \arrow[rr, "1\otimes S_{F,E}"] & & \mathcal{H}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{H}(E,F) \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}(E,E) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_E"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^F_{E,E}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{H}(F,E) \\
\mathcal{H}(E,F) \otimes \mathcal{H}(F,E) \arrow[rr, "S_{E,F}\otimes 1"] & & \mathcal{H}(F,E) \otimes \mathcal{H}(F,E) \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Hence the lemma ensures that we have a cogroupoid.

Definition 3.9. *The cogroupoid $\mathcal{H}$ is the cogroupoid defined as follows:*

(1) $\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{H}) = \{F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k),\ n \geq 1\}$,

(2) *For $E, F \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{H})$, the algebra $\mathcal{H}(E,F)$ is the algebra defined above,*
(3) *The structural maps $\Delta^{\bullet}_{\bullet,\bullet}$, $\varepsilon_{\bullet}$ and $S_{\bullet,\bullet}$ are defined in the previous lemmma.*

So we have cogroupoid linking all the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}(F)$, and the natural next question is to study the connectedness of $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ with $m, n \geq 2$. Then $H(E,F) \neq (0)$ if and only if $\mathrm{tr}(E) = \mathrm{tr}(F)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$.*

Proof. It is left as an exercise to check that if $H(E,F) \neq (0)$ then $\mathrm{tr}(E) = \mathrm{tr}(F)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$. The proof of the converse uses the diamond lemma, it might be found in [10]. ☐

Corollary 3.11. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in k$. Consider the full subcogroupoid $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\mu}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with objects*

$$\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\mu}) = \{F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k),\ n \geq 2,\ \mathrm{tr}(F) = \lambda,\ \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}) = \mu\}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\mu}$ is a connected cogroupoid.

In particular for $E \in GL_m(k)$, $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ with $n, m \geq 2$, $\mathrm{tr}(E) = \mathrm{tr}(F)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$, then $\mathcal{H}(E,F)$ is a $\mathcal{H}(E)$-$\mathcal{H}(F)$-bi-Galois object and is not cleft if $n \neq m$.

Proof. The proof is a copy and paste of the proof of Corollary 3.5. ☐

We can use this result to deduce the corepresentation theory of $\mathcal{H}(F)$ when F is a generic matrix. Let us introduce some notation and terminology.

- Let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. We say that F is normalized if $\mathrm{tr}(F) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$. We say that F is normalizable if there exists $\lambda \in k^*$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(\lambda F) = \mathrm{tr}((\lambda F)^{-1})$. Over an algebraically closed field, any matrix is normalizable unless $\mathrm{tr}(F) = 0 \neq \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$ or $\mathrm{tr}(F) \neq 0 = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$. The study of the Hopf algebra $H(F)$ for a normalizable matrix reduces to the case when F is normalized, since $\mathcal{H}(\lambda F) = \mathcal{H}(F)$.
- Let $q \in k^*$. As usual, we say that q is generic if q is not a root of unity of order $N \geq 3$. We say that a matrix $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ is generic if F is normalized and if the solutions of $q^2 - \mathrm{tr}(F)q + 1 = 0$ are generic.
- Let $q \in k^*$. We put $F_q = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$. The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(F_q)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(q)$.
- Let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. The natural n-dimensional $H(F)$-comodules associated to the multiplicative matrices $u = (u_{ij})$ and $v = (v_{ij})$ are denoted by U and V, with $V = U^*$.
- We will consider the coproduct monoid $\mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$. Equivalently $\mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$ is the free monoid on two generators, which we denote, by α and β. There is a unique antimultiplicative morphism $^{-} : \mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{e} = e$, $\bar{\alpha} = \beta$ and $\bar{\beta} = \alpha$ (e denotes the unit element of $\mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$).

The corepresensation theory of $\mathcal{H}(F)$ is described in the following result from [10]. Here k denotes an algebraically closed field.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ ($n \geq 2$) be a normalized matrix.*
(a) Let $q \in k^*$ be such that $q^2 - \mathrm{tr}(F)q + 1 = 0$. Then we have a k-linear equivalence of monoidal categories

$$\mathrm{Comod}(H(F)) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(H(q))$$

We assume now that k is a characteristic zero field.
(b) The Hopf algebra $H(F)$ is cosemisimple if and only if F is a generic matrix.
(c) Assume that F is generic. To any element $x \in \mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$ corresponds a simple $\mathcal{H}(F)$-comodule U_x, with $U_e = k$, $U_\alpha = U$ and $U_\beta = V$. Any simple $\mathcal{H}(F)$-comodule is isomorphic to one of the U_x, and $U_x \cong U_y$ if and only if $x = y$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$, we have $U_x^* \cong U_{\bar{x}}$ and

$$U_x \otimes U_y \cong \bigoplus_{\{a,b,g \in \mathbb{N}*\mathbb{N} \mid x = ag, y = \bar{g}b\}} U_{ab}\ .$$

Part (a) follows from Corollary 3.11 and Corollary 2.9 and reduces the study to the case of $\mathcal{H}(q)$. One then constructs a Hopf algebra embedding $\mathcal{H}(q) \subset k[z, z^{-1}] * \mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$ and uses properties of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$ and results on free products of cosemisimple Hopf algebras by Wang

[63]. See [10] for details. The fusion rule formulas arose first in [3], where another proof of (c), for positive matrices $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, might be found.

3.3. **The 2-cocycle cogroupoid of a Hopf algebra.** We now come back to the familiar Hopf-Galois objects obtained from 2-cocycles and see how they behave in the cogroupoid framework.

Let H be a Hopf algebra and let $\sigma, \tau \in Z^2(H)$. The algebra $H(\sigma, \tau)$ is the algebra having H as underlying vector space and product defined by

$$x.y = \sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})\tau^{-1}(x_{(3)}, y_{(3)})x_{(2)}y_{(2)}$$

It is straighforward to check that $H(\sigma, \tau)$ is an associative algebra (with the same unit as H).

In the following lemma we define all the necessary structural maps for the 2-cocycle cogroupoid of H.

Lemma 3.13. (1) *Let $\sigma, \tau, \omega \in Z^2(H)$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\omega}_{\sigma,\tau} = \Delta : H(\sigma, \tau) &\longrightarrow H(\sigma, \omega) \otimes H(\omega, \tau) \\ x &\longmapsto x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

is an algebra map, and for any $\alpha \in Z^2(H)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H(\sigma,\tau) \arrow[r, "\Delta^{\omega}_{\sigma,\tau}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\alpha}_{\sigma,\tau}"'] & H(\sigma,\omega) \otimes H(\omega,\tau) \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\alpha}_{\sigma,\omega}\otimes 1"'] \\
H(\sigma,\alpha) \otimes H(\alpha,\tau) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\Delta^{\omega}_{\alpha,\tau}"] & H(\sigma,\alpha) \otimes H(\alpha,\omega) \otimes H(\omega,\tau)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *Let $\sigma \in Z^2(H)$. The linear map $\varepsilon_\sigma = \varepsilon : H(\sigma, \sigma) \longrightarrow k$ is an algebra map, and for any $\tau \in Z^2(H)$, the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H(\sigma,\tau) \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma,\tau}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
H(\sigma,\tau) \otimes H(\tau,\tau) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\varepsilon_\tau"] & H(\sigma,\tau)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
H(\sigma,\tau) \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma,\tau}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
H(\sigma,\sigma) \otimes H(\sigma,\tau) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_\sigma\otimes 1"] & H(\sigma,\tau)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3) *Let $\sigma, \tau \in Z^2(H)$. Consider the linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\sigma,\tau} : H(\sigma, \tau) &\longrightarrow H(\tau, \sigma) \\ x &\longmapsto \sigma(x_{(1)}, S(x_{(2)}))\tau^{-1}(S(x_{(4)}), x_{(5)})S(x_{(3)}) \end{aligned}$$

The following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H(\sigma,\sigma) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma,\sigma}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & H(\sigma,\tau) \\
H(\sigma,\tau) \otimes H(\tau,\sigma) \arrow[rr, "1\otimes S_{\tau,\sigma}"] & & H(\sigma,\tau) \otimes H(\sigma,\tau) \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
H(\sigma,\sigma) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\tau}_{\sigma,\sigma}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & H(\tau,\sigma) \\
H(\sigma,\tau) \otimes H(\tau,\sigma) \arrow[rr, "S_{\sigma,\tau}\otimes 1"] & & H(\tau,\sigma) \otimes H(\tau,\sigma) \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Assertions (1) and (2) are obvious. Let $x \in H$. In $H(\sigma, \tau)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(1)} \cdot S_{\tau,\sigma}(x_{(2)}) &= x_{(1)} \cdot \big(\tau(x_{(2)}, S(x_{(3)}))\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(5)}), x_{(6)})S(x_{(4)})\big) \\ &= \tau(x_{(4)}, S(x_{(5)}))\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(9)}), x_{(10)})\sigma(x_{(1)}, S(x_{(8)}))\tau^{-1}(x_{(3)}, S(x_{(6)}))x_{(2)}S(x_{(7)}) \\ &= \tau^{-1} * \tau(x_{(3)}, S(x_{(4)}))\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(7)}), x_{(8)})\sigma(x_{(1)}, S(x_{(6)}))x_{(2)}S(x_{(5)}) \\ &= \sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(5)}), x_{(6)})\sigma(x_{(1)}, S(x_{(4)}))x_{(2)}S(x_{(3)}) \\ &= \sigma(x_{(1)}, S(x_{(2)}))\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(3)}), x_{(4)}) = \varepsilon(x)1 \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity is (a5) from Theorem 1.6 in [15]. This proves the commutativity of the first diagram in (3). For the second diagram, we have in $H(\tau,\sigma)$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\sigma,\tau}(x_{(1)})\cdot x_{(2)} &= \big(\sigma(x_{(1)},S(x_{(2)}))\tau^{-1}(S(x_{(4)}),x_{(5)})S(x_{(3)})\big)\cdot x_{(6)}\\
&= \sigma(x_{(1)},S(x_{(2)}))\tau^{-1}(S(x_{(6)}),x_{(7)})\tau(S(x_{(5)}),x_{(8)})\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(3)}),x_{(10)})S(x_{(4)})x_{(9)}\\
&= \sigma(x_{(1)},S(x_{(2)}))\tau^{-1}*\tau(S(x_{(5)}),x_{(6)})\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(3)}),x_{(8)})S(x_{(4)})x_{(7)}\\
&= \sigma(x_{(1)},S(x_{(2)}))\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(3)}),x_{(6)})S(x_{(4)})x_{(5)}\\
&= \sigma(x_{(1)},S(x_{(2)}))\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(3)}),x_{(4)}) = \varepsilon(x)1
\end{aligned}$$

where again the last identity is (a5) from Theorem 1.6 in [15]. □

Definition 3.14. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. The* **2-cocycle cogroupoid of** *H, denoted $\underline{H}$, is the cogroupoid defined as follows:*

1. $\mathrm{ob}(\underline{H}) = Z^2(H)$.
2. *For $\sigma,\tau\in Z^2(H)$, the algebra $\underline{H}(\sigma,\tau)$ is the algebra $H(\sigma,\tau)$ defined above.*
3. *The structural maps $\Delta^{\bullet}_{\bullet,\bullet}$, $\varepsilon_\bullet$ and $S_{\bullet,\bullet}$ are the ones defined in the previous lemmma.*

The Hopf algebra $H(\sigma,\sigma)$ is the Hopf algebra H^σ defined by Doi in [15]. The algebras ${}_\sigma H$ and $H_{\sigma^{-1}}$ considered in Example 1.3 are the algebras $H(\sigma,1)$ and $H(1,\sigma)$ respectively (where 1 stand for $\varepsilon\otimes\varepsilon$). It follows that $H(\sigma,1)$ is an H^σ-H-bi-Galois object and that $H(1,\sigma)$ is an H-H^σ-bi-Galois object. The associated monoidal equivalence

$$\mathrm{Comod}(H)\cong^{\otimes}\mathrm{Comod}(H^\sigma)$$

is isomorphic (as a monoidal functor) to the monoidal equivalence whose underlying functor is the identity (recall that $H=H^\sigma$ as coalgebras) and whose monoidal constraints is given by the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
V\otimes W &\cong V\otimes W\\
v\otimes w &\mapsto \sigma^{-1}(v_{(1)},w_{(1)})v_{(0)}\otimes w_{(0)}
\end{aligned}$$

3.4. **The multiparametric GL_n-cogroupoid.** It is in general unpleasant and difficult to work with explicit cocycles, and the 2-cocycle cogroupoid in the previous subsection is a theoretical tool. In concrete examples, it is much easier to work with explicit algebras (although it is useful to know that a cocycle lies behind the constructions, e.g. to prove that the algebras are non-zero), and in this subsection we present an example of a full subcogroupoid of the 2-cocycle cogroupoid of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$.

We say that a matrix $\mathbf{p}=(p_{ij})\in M_n(k)$ is an AST-matrix (after Artin-Schelter-Tate [1]) if $p_{ii}=1$ and $p_{ij}p_{ji}=1$ for all i and j. The trivial AST-matrix (i.e. $p_{ij}=1$ for all i and j) is denoted by $\mathbf{1}$. We denote by $\mathrm{AST}(n)$ the set of AST matrices of size n .

For $\mathbf{p}\in\mathrm{AST}(n)$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$ ([1]) is the algebra presented by generators x_{ij}, y_{ij}, $1\le i,j\le n$, submitted to the following relations ($1\le i,j,k,l\le n$):

$$x_{kl}x_{ij}=p_{ki}p_{jl}x_{ij}x_{kl},\quad y_{kl}y_{ij}=p_{ki}p_{jl}y_{ij}y_{kl},\quad y_{kl}x_{ij}=p_{ik}p_{lj}x_{ij}y_{kl}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik}y_{jk}=\delta_{ij}=\sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}y_{kj}$$

The presentation we have given avoids the use of the quantum determinant. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$ has a standard Hopf algebra structure, described as follows:

$$\Delta(x_{ij})=\sum_k x_{ik}\otimes x_{kj},\ \Delta(y_{ij})=\sum_k y_{ik}\otimes y_{kj},\ \varepsilon(x_{ij})=\delta_{ij}=\varepsilon(y_{ij}),\ S(x_{ij})=y_{ji},\ S(y_{ij})=x_{ji}$$

When $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{1}$, one gets the usual Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$.

To put $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$ in a cogroupoid framework, we use the following algebras. For $\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{AST}(n)$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$ is the algebra presented by generators x_{ij}, y_{ij}, $1 \leq i,j \leq n$, submitted to the following relations ($1 \leq i,j,k,l \leq n$):

$$x_{kl}x_{ij} = p_{ki}q_{jl}x_{ij}x_{kl}, \quad y_{kl}y_{ij} = p_{ki}q_{jl}y_{ij}y_{kl}, \quad y_{kl}x_{ij} = p_{ik}q_{lj}x_{ij}y_{kl}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik}y_{jk} = \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ki}y_{kj}$$

Lemma 3.15. *In this lemma we note $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$.*

(1) *For any $\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r} \in \mathrm{AST}(n)$, there exists an algebra map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}} \\ x_{ij},\ y_{ij} &\longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj},\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{ik} \otimes y_{kj} \end{aligned}$$

and for any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathrm{AST}(\mathbf{n})$, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "\Delta^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} \otimes 1"'] \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "1 \otimes \Delta^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}}"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{q}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"] \arrow[rd, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "1 \otimes \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}}"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}
\end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"] \arrow[rd, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \otimes 1"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *For any $\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{AST}(\mathbf{n})$, there exists an algebra map*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))^{\mathrm{op}} \\ x,\ y &\longmapsto y^t,\ x^t \end{aligned}$$

such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[rr, "1 \otimes S_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}"] & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[rr, "S_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes 1"] & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Exercise. □

The lemma ensures that we have a cogroupoid.

Definition 3.16. *The multiparametric GL_n-cogroupoid, denoted $\underline{\mathrm{GL}_n}$, is defined as follows:*

(1) $\mathrm{ob}(\underline{\mathrm{GL}_n}) = \mathrm{AST}(n)$,
(2) *For $\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{AST}(\mathbf{n})$, the algebra $\underline{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$ is the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$ defined above.*
(3) *The structural maps $\Delta^{\bullet}_{\bullet,\bullet}$, $\varepsilon_{\bullet}$ and $S_{\bullet,\bullet}$ are defined in the previous lemmma.*

Proposition 3.17. *The multiparametric GL_n-cogroupoid is connected.*

Proof. We know from Proposition 2.15 that it is enough to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{1}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k)) \neq (0)$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathrm{AST}(n)$. Consider the algebra $k_{\mathbf{p}}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ presented by generators $t_1, \cdots, t_n, t_1^{-1}, \cdots, t_n^{-1}$ submitted to the relations ($1 \leq i,k \leq n$)

$$t_i t_i^{-1} = 1 = t_i^{-1} t_i,\ t_i t_k = p_{ik} t_k t_i,\ t_i^{-1} t_k^{-1} = p_{ik} t_k^{-1} t_i^{-1},\ t_i t_k^{-1} = p_{ki} t_k^{-1} t_i$$

It is not difficult to check that $k_{\mathbf{p}}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ is a non-zero algebra either by using the diamond lemma or by showing that it is isomorphic to the twisted group algebra $k_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ for the 2-cocycle considered in [1]. It is straighforward to check that we have a surjective algebra map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{1}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k)) &\longrightarrow k_{\mathbf{p}}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}] \\ x_{ij}, y_{ij} &\longmapsto \delta_{ij}t_i, \delta_{ij}t_i^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{1}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k)) \neq (0)$. □

Corollary 3.18. *For any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathrm{AST}(\mathbf{n})$, we have a k-linear equivalence of monoidal categories*

$$\mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_n(k))$$

4. CLASSIFYING HOPF-GALOIS OBJECTS: THE FIBRE FUNCTOR METHOD

In this section we explain how to classify Hopf-Galois objects by using fibre functors via Ulbrich's Theorem. The idea is to study how the fibre functor associated to the Galois object will transform the "fundamental" morphisms of the category of comodules.

4.1. **Hopf-Galois objects over $\mathcal{B}(E)$.** Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ with $m \geq 2$. We first state the classification result for (left) $\mathcal{B}(E)$-Galois objects. We already know from the previous section that $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ is a left $\mathcal{B}(E)$-Galois object if $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ satisfies $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$. Let

$$X_0(E) = \{F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k),\ n \geq 2 \mid \mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)\}$$

and let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on $X_0(E)$ defined for $F, G \in X_0(E)$ with $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ and $G \in \mathrm{GL}_p(k)$ by

$$F \sim G \iff [n = p \text{ and } \exists P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k) \text{ with } F = PGP^t]$$

We put $X(E) = X_0(E)/\sim$. The following result is stated in [2].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ with $m \geq 2$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} X_0(E) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{B}(E)) \\ F &\longmapsto [\mathcal{B}(E,F)] \end{aligned}$$

induces a bijection $X(E) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{B}(E))$.

Of course the meaning of bracket symbol $[,]$ is that we consider the isomorphism class of the Galois object.

let V_E be the m-dimensional $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule with basis $v_1^E, \ldots, v_m^E$ and with $\mathcal{B}(E)$-coaction $\alpha(v_i^E) = \sum_j v_j^E \otimes a_{ji}$. We know that the nondegenerate bilinear form

$$\beta_E : V_E \otimes V_E \longmapsto k,\ v_i^E \otimes v_j^E \longmapsto \lambda_{ij},\ E = (\lambda_{ij})$$

is $\mathcal{B}(E)$-colinear and generates $\mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(E))$ as a tensor category (by the universal property of $\mathcal{B}(E)$). So to study the fibre functors on $\mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(E))$ we have to study how they transform β_E. We then deduce informations on the corresponding Galois object.

Proposition 4.2. *Let A be a left $\mathcal{B}(E)$-Galois object. Then there exists $F \in X_0(E)$ such that $A \cong \mathcal{B}(E,F)$ as Galois objects.*

Proof. Let $\Omega^A : \mathrm{Comod}_f(\mathcal{B}(E)) \longmapsto k$ be the fibre functor corresponding to A and consider the bilinear map defined by the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\beta' : \Omega^A(V_E) \otimes \Omega^A(V_E) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(V_E \otimes V_E) \arrow[r, "\Omega^A(\beta_E)"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(k) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & k \arrow[d, equal] \\
V_E \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} A \otimes V_E \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (V_E \otimes V_E) \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\beta_E \otimes 1"] & k \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & k
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $w_1, \dots, w_n$ be a basis of $\Omega^A(V_E)$ (we have $n \geq 2$ by Proposition 1.16). For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, write

$$w_i = \sum_{k=1}^{m} v_k^E \otimes z_{ki}$$

for elements $z_{ki} \in A$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $F = (\mu_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$ be such that $\beta'(w_i \otimes w_j) = \mu_{ij}$. We have

$$\beta'(w_i \otimes w_j)1 = \beta'(\sum_{k,l=1}^{m} v_k^E \otimes z_{ki} \otimes v_l^E \otimes z_{lj})1 = \sum_{k,l=1}^{m} z_{ki}\lambda_{kl}z_{lj} = \mu_{ij}1$$

which means that if $z = (z_{ki}) \in M_{m,n}(A)$, we have

$$z^t E z = F$$

We have to check that the matrix F is invertible. We use the fact that β gives a dual for V_E in the category of $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comdules. For this consider the linear map

$$\delta : k \longrightarrow V_E \otimes V_E, 1 \longmapsto \sum_{k,l} \lambda_{kl}^{-1} v_k^E \otimes v_l^E, \ \text{(with } E^{-1} = (\lambda_{kl}^{-1}))$$

This map is $\mathcal{B}(E)$-colinear (direct verification) and hence we can consider the linear map δ' defined by the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\delta' : k \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(k) \arrow[r, "\Omega^A(\delta)"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(V_E \otimes V_E) \arrow[r, "(\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V_E,V_E})^{-1}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(V_E) \otimes \Omega^A(V_E) \arrow[d, equal] \\
k \arrow[r, "\sim"] & k\square_{\mathcal{B}(E)}A \arrow[r, "\delta\otimes 1"] & (V_E \otimes V_E)\square_{\mathcal{B}(E)}A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & V_E\square_{\mathcal{B}(E)}A \otimes V_E\square_{\mathcal{B}(E)}A
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have

$$\delta'(1) = \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} w_i \otimes w_j = \sum_{k,l}\sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} v_k^E \otimes z_{ki} \otimes v_l^E \otimes v_{lj} \otimes z_{lj}$$

for $G = (\gamma_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$ and hence

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V_E,V_E} \circ \delta'(1) = \sum_{k,l} v_k^E \otimes v_l^E \otimes (\sum_{i,j} z_{ki}\gamma_{ij}z_{lj})$$

On the other hand

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^A_{V_E,V_E} \circ \delta'(1) = \sum_{k,l} v_k^E \otimes v_l^E \otimes \lambda_{kl}^{-1}1$$

which shows that $zGz^t = E^{-1}$. We have

$$(1 \otimes \beta_E) \circ (\delta \otimes 1) = 1_{V_E}$$

in the monoidal category of $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodules, so the monoidal functor Ω^A transforms this equation into

$$(1 \otimes \beta') \circ (\delta' \otimes 1) = 1_{\Omega^A(V_E)}$$

This means that $FG = I_n$, so that F is invertible with $G = F^{-1}$. We have $z^tEz = F$ and $zGz^t = E^{-1}$, hence

$$F^{-1}z^tEz = I_n \text{ and } zF^{-1}z^tE = I_m$$

Thus there exists a unique algebra morphism $f : \mathcal{B}(E,F) \longrightarrow A$ defined by $f(a_{ki}) = z_{ki}$. Moreover it is easy to see that f is left $\mathcal{B}(E)$-colinear since

$$w_i = \sum_k v_k^E \otimes z_{ki} \in V_E\square_{\mathcal{B}(E)}A$$

We conclude by Proposition 1.6 that f is an isomorphism. □

To classify the Galois objects $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ up to isomorphism, it is also useful to examine the associated fibre functors.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $F, G \in X_0(E)$ with $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ and $G \in \mathrm{GL}_p(k)$. The following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *The left $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule algebras $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E,G)$ are isomorphic.*
2. *$F \sim G$, i.e. $n = p$ and $\exists P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ with $F = PGP^t$.*

Proof. We use the notation in the previous proof. Let $f : \mathcal{B}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(E,G)$ be a left $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule algebra isomorphism. Then f induces a linear isomorphim

$$1 \otimes f : V_E \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \mathcal{B}(E,F) \longrightarrow V_E \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \mathcal{B}(E,G)$$

By corollary 3.5 and its proof we have $n = p$ and the elements

$$w_i = \sum_k v_k^E \otimes a_{ki}^{E,F}, \quad w_i' = \sum_k v_k^E \otimes a_{ki}^{E,G}, \quad 1 \le i \le n$$

form respective bases of $V_E \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \mathcal{B}(E,F)$ and $V_E \square_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \mathcal{B}(E,G)$. Then there exists $M = (m_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ such that $1 \otimes f(w_i) = \sum_j m_{ji} w_j'$, $\forall i$, and hence

$$\sum_k v_k^E \otimes f(a_{ki}^{E,F}) = \sum_k v_k^E \otimes (\sum_j a_{kj}^{E,G} m_{ji})$$

which in matrix form gives $f(a^{E,F}) = a^{E,G} M$ or dropping the exponents $f(a) = aM$. We have $F^{-1} a^t E a = I_n$ in $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$, hence

$$I_n = f(F^{-1} a^t E a) = F^{-1} M^t a^t E a M \Rightarrow M F^{-1} M^t a^t E a = I_n \text{ in } \mathcal{B}(E,G)$$

But we have $a G^{-1} a^t E = I_m$ so $M F^{-1} M^t a^t E = G^{-1} a^t E \Rightarrow M F^{-1} M^t a^t = G^{-1} a^t$ and we conclude by multiplying on the right by $E a G^{-1}$ that $M F^{-1} M^t = G^{-1}$. This proves that $F \sim G$.

Conversely, if $n = p$ and $\exists P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ such that $F = PGP^t$, it is not difficult so show that there exists a unique algebra map $f : \mathcal{B}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(E,G)$ such that $f(a) = aP^t$, that f is an isomorphism and is $\mathcal{B}(E)$-colinear. □

Theorem 4.1 follows from these two propositions.

Remark 4.4. There is an interesting equivalence relation on Galois objects, called homotopy, introduced by Kassel and further developped by Kassel and Schneider in [34]. The classification of Galois objects over $\mathcal{B}(E)$ up to homotopy is studied in [2].

4.2. Hopf-Galois objects over $\mathcal{H}(F)$.

We provide now the classification of the Galois objects over the universal cosovereign Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}(F)$, following the same line as the one of the previous subsection.

Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ with $m \ge 2$. We know from the previous section that $\mathcal{H}(E,F)$ is a left $\mathcal{H}(E)$-Galois object if $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ satisfies $\mathrm{tr}(E) = \mathrm{tr}(F)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$. Let

$$\overline{X}_0(E) = \{F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k),\ n \ge 2 \mid \mathrm{tr}(E) = \mathrm{tr}(F),\ \mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})\}$$

and let $\approx$ be the equivalence relation on $\overline{X}_0(E)$ defined for $F, G \in \overline{X}_0(E)$ with $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ and $G \in \mathrm{GL}_p(k)$ by

$$F \approx G \iff [n = p \text{ and } \exists P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k) \text{ with } F = PGP^{-1}]$$

We put $\overline{X}(E) = \overline{X}_0(E)/\approx$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ with $m \ge 2$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X}_0(E) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{H}(E)) \\ F &\longmapsto [\mathcal{H}(E,F)] \end{aligned}$$

induces a bijection $\overline{X}(E) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{H}(E))$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let A be a left $\mathcal{H}(E)$-Galois object. Then there exists $F \in \overline{X}_0(E)$ such that $A \cong \mathcal{H}(E,F)$ as Galois objects.*

Proof. The reasoning is similar to the one of Proposition 4.2 so we give less detail. Let U_E be the m-dimensional $\mathcal{H}(E)$-comodule with basis $u_1^E, \dots, u_m^E$ and with $\mathcal{H}(E)$-coaction given by $\alpha(u_i^E) = \sum_j u_j^E \otimes u_{ji}$. The coaction of the dual comodule U_E^* is given by $\alpha^*(u_i^{E*}) = \sum_j u_j^{E*} \otimes v_{ji}$. The following linear maps

$$e : U_E^* \otimes U_E \longrightarrow k,\ u_i^* \otimes u_j \longmapsto \delta_{ij}$$

$$d : k \longrightarrow U_E \otimes U_E^*,\ 1 \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes u_i^*$$

$$\epsilon : U_E \otimes U_E^* \longrightarrow k,\ u_i \otimes u_j^* \longmapsto \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$$

$$\delta : k \longrightarrow U_E^* \otimes U_E,\ 1 \longmapsto \sum_{i,j=1}^m \lambda_{ij} u_i^* \otimes u_j$$

where $E = (\lambda_{ij})$ and $E^{-1} = (\lambda_{ij}^{-1})$, are $\mathcal{H}(E)$-colinear. Let $\Omega^A : \mathrm{Comod}_f(\mathcal{H}(E)) \longmapsto k$ be the fibre functor corresponding to A. Consider the linear maps defined by the compositions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
e' : \Omega^A(U_E^*) \otimes \Omega^A(U_E) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(U_E^* \otimes U_E) \arrow[r, "\Omega^A(e)"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(k) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & k \arrow[d, equal] \\
U_E^* \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \otimes U_E \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (U_E^* \otimes U_E) \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "e \otimes 1"] & k \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & k
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
d' : k \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(k) \arrow[r, "\Omega^A(d)"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(U_E \otimes U_E^*) \arrow[r, "(\tilde{\Omega}^A_{U_E, U_E^*})^{-1}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(U_E) \otimes \Omega^A(U_E^*) \arrow[d, equal] \\
k \arrow[r, "\sim"] & k \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "d \otimes 1"] & (U_E \otimes U_E^*) \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & U_E \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \otimes U_E^* \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $(\Omega^A(U_E^*), e', d')$ is a left dual for $\Omega^A(U_E)$ since Ω^A is a monoidal functor. Hence there exist bases $w_1, \dots, w_n$ and $w'_1, \dots, w'_n$ of $\Omega^A(U_E)$ and $\Omega^A(U_E^*)$ respectively ($n = \dim \Omega^A(U_E) \geq 2$) such that $e'(w'_i \otimes w_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $d'(1) = \sum_i w_i \otimes w'_i$. Now write $w_i = \sum_k u_k^E \otimes z_{ki}$ and $w'_i = \sum_k u_k^{E*} \otimes c_{ki}$ for elements $z_{ki}, c_{ki} \in A$. Then we see that $c^t z = I_n$ and $zc^t = I_m$.

Consider now the linear maps defined by the compositions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\epsilon' : \Omega^A(U_E) \otimes \Omega^A(U_E^*) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(U_E \otimes U_E^*) \arrow[r, "\Omega^A(\epsilon)"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(k) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & k \arrow[d, equal] \\
U_E \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \otimes U_E^* \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (U_E \otimes U_E^*) \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\epsilon \otimes 1"] & k \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & k
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\delta' : k \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(k) \arrow[r, "\Omega^A(\delta)"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(U_E^* \otimes U_E) \arrow[r, "(\tilde{\Omega}^A_{U_E^*, U_E})^{-1}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \Omega^A(U_E^*) \otimes \Omega^A(U_E) \arrow[d, equal] \\
k \arrow[r, "\sim"] & k \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\delta \otimes 1"] & (U_E^* \otimes U_E) \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \arrow[r, "\sim"] & U_E^* \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A \otimes U_E \square_{\mathcal{H}(E)} A
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $(\Omega^A(U_E), \epsilon', \delta')$ is a left dual for $\Omega^A(U_E^*)$, there exists $M = (m_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ such that $\epsilon'(w_i \otimes w'_j) = m_{ij}$ and $\delta'(1) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n m_{ij}^{-1} w'_i \otimes w_j$. From this we see that $M = z^t E^{-1} c$ and $E = cM^{-1}z^t$. Hence there exists an algebra map $f : \mathcal{H}(E, M^{-1}) \longrightarrow A$ such that $f(u_{ki}) = z_{ki}$ and $f(v_{ki}) = c_{ki}$, which is $\mathcal{H}(E)$-colinear, and is an isomorphism by Proposition 1.6. $\square$

Proposition 4.7. *Let $F, G \in \overline{X}_0(E)$ with $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ and $G \in \mathrm{GL}_p(k)$. The following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) *The left $\mathcal{H}(E)$-comodule algebras $\mathcal{H}(E,F)$ and $\mathcal{H}(E,G)$ are isomorphic.*

(2) *$F \approx G$, i.e. $n = p$ and $\exists P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ with $F = PGP^{-1}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.3: if $f : \mathcal{H}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(E,G)$ is a left $\mathcal{H}(E)$-comodule algebra isomorphism, then $n = p$ and there exists $M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ such that $f(u) = uM$ and $f(v) = uM^{t^{-1}}$, and then we have $G = M^{t^{-1}}FM^t$, so $F \approx G$. Conversely, if there exists $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ with $F = PGP^{-1}$, one defines a left $\mathcal{H}(E)$-comodule algebra isomorphism $f : \mathcal{H}(E,F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(E,G)$ by $f(u) = uP^t$ and $f(v) = vP^{t^{-1}}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.5 follows from these two propositions.

4.3. **Some remarks.**

(1) The problem of classifying the fibre functors (or Galois objects) on a comodule category is a particular case of the problem of classifying the module categories over the comodule category (see [44]), considered for $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$ by Etingof and Ostrik ([22] and [45]). Recent nice contributions on the problem of classifying module categories (and hence Hopf-Galois objects) were done by Mombelli [40, 41]

(2) As we have seen the fibre functor method provides a powerful method for classifying Hopf-Galois objects, as least if the comodule category is generated by a few equationnaly well defined morphisms. It does not seem to work so well for pointed Hopf algebras, or at least I could not make it work well. The best technique for pointed Hopf algebras has been for a long time the one by Masuoka [38], but recently new techniques have emerged, by Masuoka again [39] or more interestingly yet by the already mentionned work of Mombelli [40, 41].

5. Applications to comodule algebras

In this section we present applications of bi-Galois theory to the study of comodule algebras. The basic result is the following one.

Theorem 5.1. *Let H, L be Hopf algebras with a k-linear monoidal equivalence*

$$F : \mathrm{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(L)$$

Then F induces an equivalence of categories between right H-comodule algebras and right L-comodule algebras. If B is a right H-comodule algebra, the above monoidal equivalence induces an algebra isomorphism between the respective coinvariant subalgebras $B^{\mathrm{co}H} \cong F(B)^{\mathrm{co}L}$.

Proof. A right H-comodule algebra is exactly an algebra in the monoidal category of right H-comodules, thus the above functor induces the announced category equivalence. Let B be a right H-comodule algebra. We have an algebra isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_H(k,B) &\longrightarrow B^{\mathrm{co}H} \\ f &\longmapsto f(1) \end{aligned}$$

where the algebra structure on $\mathrm{Hom}_H(k,B)$ is given by $f \cdot g = m_B \circ (f \otimes g)$. The above monoidal functor induces an algebra isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_H(k,B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_L(F(k),F(B)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_L(k,F(B))$$

and hence induces an algebra isomorphism $B^{\mathrm{co}H} \cong F(B)^{\mathrm{co}L}$. $\square$

The cogroupoid picture might be useful to determine exactly the algebras $F(B)$ and $F(B)^{\mathrm{co}L}$ (with $F(B) = B\square_H A$ and $F(B)^{\mathrm{co}L} = (B\square_H A)^{\mathrm{co}L}$ for an H-L-bi-Galois object A), for example to get a presentation by generators and relation from the ones of B and $B^{\mathrm{co}H}$. We present some illustrations.

5.1. **A model comodule algebra for $\mathcal{B}(E)$.** We continue the study of $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodules by providing explicit models for the simple $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodules in the cosemisimple case. We assume that k has characteristic zero in this subsection.

Definition 5.2. *Let H be a cosemisimple Hopf algebra. A **model H-comodule algebra** is a right H-comodule algebra in which every simple H-comodule appears with multiplicity one.*

It is well known that if q is not a root of unity of order ≥ 3, then the quantum plane algebra $k_q[x,y]$ is a model $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-comodule algebra, since the degree grading provides a decomposition

$$k_q[x,y] = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} k_q[x,y]_n$$

and the comodules $k_q[x,y]_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, provide a complete list of simple $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-comodules (see e.g. [35]). It is clear from Theorem 5.1 that the property of having a model comodule algebra is monoidally invariant and hence $\mathcal{B}(E)$ will have a model comodule algebra when it is cosemisimple.

Definition 5.3. *Let $M = (\alpha_{ij}) \in M_m(k)$ and $t \in k$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{M,t}$ is the algebra presented by generators $x_1, \dots, x_m$ submitted to the relation $\sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_{ij}x_ix_j = t$.*

When

$$M = E_q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{M,0}$ is the quantum plane $k_q[x,y]$, while that algebra $\mathcal{A}_{M,1}$ is the quantum Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_1^q(k)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}$ has a right $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule algebra structure defined by the formula*

$$\alpha(x_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m x_k \otimes a_{ki}$$

Let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ be such that $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t)$ $(m, n \geq 2)$ and consider the monoidal equivalence $\Theta : \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(E)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{B}(F))$ induced by the $\mathcal{B}(E)$-$\mathcal{B}(F)$-bi-Galois object $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$. We have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(F)$-comodule algebras $\Theta(\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}) \cong \mathcal{A}_{F^{-1},t}$.

Proof. It is straighforward to check that $\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}$ has a $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule algebra structure given by the above formula. We give two sketches of proof that $\Theta(\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}) \cong \mathcal{A}_{F^{-1},t}$. In the first proof we proceed very similarly to the proof of Corollary 3.5 when we showed that $\Theta(V_E) \cong V_F$. It is straightforward to check that one has an algebra map

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_F : \mathcal{A}_{F^{-1},t} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}\square_{\mathcal{B}(E)}\mathcal{B}(E,F) = \Theta(\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}) \\ x_i^F &\longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^m x_k^E \otimes a_{ki}^{E,F} \end{aligned}$$

which is $\mathcal{B}(F)$-colinear and the argument of the proof of Corollary 3.5 to show that ι_F is an isomorphism works without any change.

A second sketch of proof is as follows [37]. Consider the tensor algebra $T(V_E) = \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{N}} V_E^{\otimes l}$. This is a $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule algebra and we have $\Theta(T(V_E)) \cong T(\Theta(V_E)) \cong T(V_F)$ as $\mathcal{B}(F)$-comodule algebras. As in the proof of Proposition 4.2, consider the $\mathcal{B}(E)$-colinear map

$$\delta_E : k \longrightarrow V_E \otimes V_E, 1 \longmapsto \sum_{k,l} \lambda_{kl}^{-1} v_k^E \otimes v_l^E, \text{ (with } E^{-1} = (\lambda_{kl}^{-1}))$$

By construction $\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t} = T(V_E)/\langle \delta_E - t1\rangle$ an with an obvious abuse of notation we have $\Theta(\delta_E) = \delta_F$ (check this) and hence

$$\Theta(\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}) = \Theta(T(V_E)/\langle \delta_E - t1\rangle) \cong T(V_F)/\langle \Theta(\delta_E - t1)\rangle) = T(V_F)/\langle \delta_F - t1\rangle = \mathcal{A}_{F^{-1},t}$$

This concludes the proof. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let $E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ be such that there exists $q \in k^*$ with $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = -q - q^{-1}$ and q is not a root of unity of order ≥ 3. Then for $t \in \{0, 1\}$, $\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},t}$ is a model $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule algebra.*

Proof. The proof follows from Corollary 3.6, the previous proposition and the fact that $\mathcal{A}_{E_q^{-1},0} = k_q[x, y]$ and $\mathcal{A}_{E_q^{-1},1} = \mathcal{A}_1^q(k)$ are model $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-comodule algebras (that $\mathcal{A}_1^q(k)$ is a model $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-comodule algebra can be deduced from the fact that $k_q[x, y]$ is the graded algebra associated to the natural degree filtration on $\mathcal{A}_1^q(k)$). $\square$

Remark 5.6. It follows from the previous results that if $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is cosemisimple, the simple $\mathcal{B}(E)$-comodule corresponding to $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the subspace of degree n elements in $\mathcal{A}_{E^{-1},0}$.

5.2. **An application to invariant theory.** The considerations of the beginning of the section allows us to get nice theorems in invariant theory almost for free. We provide an illustration taken from [5].

The first and most well-known theorem in invariant theory is the structure theorem for the algebra of symmetric polynomials. So each time we have a 2-cocycle deformation of $\mathcal{O}(S_n)$ (the algebra of functions on the symmetric group S_n), we can derive a twisted version of the classical theorem on symmetric polynomials.

We begin by introducing some notation. We assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$. Let $n \geq 2$ For $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$, we put

$$\begin{cases} i' = i - 1 \text{ and } i^* = i/2 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ i' = i + 1 \text{ and } i^* = i'/2 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

We have $i'^* = i^*$. Let $\mathrm{AST}_2(n)$ be the set of AST matrices $\mathbf{p} = (p_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$ with $p_{ii} = 1$ and $p_{ij} = p_{ji} = \pm 1$ for any i and j.

For $\mathbf{p} \in \mathrm{AST}_2(n)$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{p}(S_{2n})$ is the algebra presented by generators $(x_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}$ and submitted to the relations $(1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2n)$:

$$x_{ij}x_{ik} = \delta_{jk}x_{ij} \quad ; \quad x_{ji}x_{ki} = \delta_{jk}x_{ji} \quad ; \quad \sum_{l=1}^{2n} x_{il} = 1 = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} x_{li} \ . \tag{5.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(3 + p_{i^*j^*})x_{kj}x_{li} + (1 - p_{i^*j^*})x_{kj}x_{li'} + (1 - p_{i^*j^*})x_{kj'}x_{li} + (p_{i^*j^*} - 1)x_{kj'}x_{li'} = \\ (3 + p_{l^*k^*})x_{li}x_{kj} + (1 - p_{l^*k^*})x_{l'i}x_{kj} + (1 - p_{l^*k^*})x_{li}x_{k'j} + (p_{l^*k^*} - 1)x_{l'i}x_{k'j} \ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

If $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{1}$ then $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{1}(S_{2n})$ is just the algebra of functions on S_{2n} (see [64]). It is a direct verification to check that $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{p}(S_{2n})$ is a Hopf algebra with

$$\Delta(x_{ij}) = \sum_k x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(x_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad S(x_{ij}) = x_{ji}$$

We consider the algebra $k_\mathbf{p}[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]$ presented by generators $x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}$ submitted to the relations

$$4x_ix_j = (3 + p_{i^*j^*})x_jx_i + (1 - p_{i^*j^*})x_{j'}x_i + (1 - p_{i^*j^*})x_jx_{i'} + (p_{i^*j^*} - 1)x_{j'}x_{i'} \ . \tag{5.3}$$

It is clear that $k_\mathbf{1}[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]$.

Our aim is to prove the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *The algebra $k_\mathbf{p}[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]$ has a right $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{p}(S_{2n})$-comodule algebra structure defined by $\delta(x_i) = \sum_k x_k \otimes x_{ki}$, and the algebra of coinvariants $k_\mathbf{p}[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]^{\mathrm{co}\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{p}(S_{2n})}$ is a (commutative) algebra of polynomials on $2n$ variables.*

The strategy is clear: we have construct a k-linear monoidal equivalence

$$\mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{O}(S_{2n})) \cong^\otimes \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{p}(S_{2n}))$$

sending $k[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]$ to $k_\mathbf{p}[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]$, and combine the classical theorem on symmetric polynomials with Theorem 5.1. In order to do this we construct an appropriate connected cogroupoid.

Let $\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{AST}_2(n)$. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n})$ is the algebra presented by generators $(x_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq 2n}$ submitted to the relation:

$$x_{ij}x_{ik} = \delta_{jk}x_{ij} \quad ; \quad x_{ji}x_{ki} = \delta_{jk}x_{ji} \quad ; \quad \sum_{l=1}^{2n} x_{il} = 1 = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} x_{li} \ . \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(3+q_{i^*j^*})x_{kj}x_{li} + (1-q_{i^*j^*})x_{kj}x_{li'} + (1-q_{i^*j^*})x_{kj'}x_{li} + (q_{i^*j^*}-1)x_{kj'}x_{li'} = \\ &(3+p_{l^*k^*})x_{li}x_{kj} + (1-p_{l^*k^*})x_{l'i}x_{kj} + (1-p_{l^*k^*})x_{li}x_{k'j} + (p_{l^*k^*}-1)x_{l'i}x_{k'j} \ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Lemma 5.8. *In this lemma we note $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n})$.*

(1) *For any $\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r} \in \mathrm{AST}_2(n)$, there exists an algebra map*

$$\Delta^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}}$$

$$x_{ij}, \longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj}$$

and for any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathrm{AST}_2(n)$, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "\Delta^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}}\otimes 1"'] \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "1\otimes\Delta^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}}"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{q}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "1\otimes\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}}"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] & \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}\otimes 1"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *For any $\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{AST}(\mathbf{n})$, there exists an algebra map*

$$S_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}(S_{2n})^{\mathrm{op}}$$

$$x \longmapsto x^t$$

such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[rr, "1\otimes S_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}"] & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}}"] & k \arrow[r, "u"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[rr, "S_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}\otimes 1"] & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \arrow[u, "m"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This is a straightforward verification. One way to simplify the computations is as follows. For $i,j,l,k \in \{1,\ldots,2n\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathrm{ASR}_2(n)$, put

$$R^{kl}_{ij}(\mathbf{p}) = \delta_{i^*l^*}\delta_{j^*k^*}\left(1 + (-1)^{i-l} + (-1)^{j-k} + (-1)^{i-l+j-k}p_{j^*i^*}\right)$$

With this notation, the second relations defining $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$ are

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} R^{kl}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{p})x_{\alpha i}x_{\beta j} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(\mathbf{q})x_{k\alpha}x_{l\beta}$$

With this compact notation the verification that $\Delta^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$ is well-defined is easy. □

The lemma ensures that we have a cogroupoid whose objects are the elements of $\mathrm{AST}_2(n)$ and whose algebras are the above algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n})$. The following lemma and Proposition 2.15 ensure that the cogroupoid is connected, and hence that each $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}(S_{2n})$-$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n})$-bi-Galois object.

Lemma 5.9. *We have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{1}}(S_{2n}) \neq (0)$ for any $\mathbf{p} \in \mathrm{AST}_2(n)$.*

Proof. Consider the algebra A presented by generators $t_1, \dots, t_n$ submitted to the relations $t_i^2 = 1$, $\forall i$, $t_i t_j = p_{ij} t_j t_i$. It is not difficult to check that A is a non-zero algebra (it is isomorphic with the twisted group algebra $k_\sigma[(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n]$ for an appropriate 2-cocycle). One then checks that there exists an algebra map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{1}}(S_{mn}) &\longrightarrow A \\ x_{ij} &\longmapsto \frac{\delta_{i^*,j^*}}{2}(1 + (-1)^{j-i} t_{i^*}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{1}}(S_{mn})$ is a non-zero algebra. □

Proof of Theorem 5.7. The existence of the announced $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}(S_{2n})$-comodule algebra structure on $k_{\mathbf{p}}[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}]$ is left to the reader. Also the reader will check the existence of an algebra map

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\mathbf{p}}[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}] &\longrightarrow k_{\mathbf{q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \square_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n})} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}(S_{2n}) \\ x_i &\longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \otimes x_{ki} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{AST}_2(n)$. From this he will check, using the technique already used several times (e.g. in the proof of Corollary 3.5), that the monoidal equivalence associated to the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(S_{2n})$-$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}(S_{2n})$-bi-Galois object $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}(S_{2n})$ transforms the algebra $k_{\mathbf{q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ into the algebra $k_{\mathbf{p}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Theorem 5.1 and the classical theorem on the structure of symmetric polynomials conclude the proof. □

6. Yetter-Drinfeld modules

In this section the previous results and constructions are applied to Yetter-Drinfeld modules. We give an application to Brauer groups of Hopf algebras, while an application to bialgebra cohomology will be given at the end of the next Section.

Let H be a Hopf algebra. Recall that a (right-right) Yetter-Drinfeld module over H is a right H-comodule and right H-module V satisfying the condition

$$(v \leftarrow x)_{(0)} \otimes (v \leftarrow x)_{(1)} = v_{(0)} \leftarrow x_{(2)} \otimes S(x_{(1)}) v_{(1)} x_{(3)}$$

The category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H is denoted $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$: the morphisms are the H-linear H-colinear maps. Endowed with the usual tensor product of modules and comodules, it is a monoidal category.

6.1. Monoidal equivalence between categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

Recently some authors ([14, 4]) have used the fact that the Yetter-Drinfeld categories of Hopf algebras that are cocycle deformation of each other are monoidally equivalent. This result is a particular case of the following result.

Theorem 6.1. *Let H and L be two Hopf algebras such that there exists a k-linear monoidal equivalence between* Comod(H) *and* Comod(L)*. Then there exists a a k-linear monoidal equivalence between $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ and $\mathcal{YD}_L^L$.*

A sketch of a quick proof of the theorem is as follows: the weak center (see the appendix in [50]) of the category Comod(H) is monoidally equivalent with the category $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$, and hence a monoidal equivalence between Comod(H) and Comod(L) will induce a monoidal equivalence between $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ and $\mathcal{YD}_L^L$.

The result that the weak center of Comod(H) is $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ is stated in [50]. It is probably well-known to many specialists, but to the best of my knowledge no complete proof is avalaible in the litterarure (although it is similar to the more familiar module case). Hence it might be useful to have a direct and more constructive proof using bi-Galois objects and cogoupoids. It is the aim of the section to provide such a proof.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be cogroupoid, let $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and let V be a right $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$-module.*

(1) *$V\otimes\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ has a right $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-module structure defined by*
$$\big(v\otimes a^{X,Y}\big)\leftarrow b^{Y,Y}=v\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)}$$
Endowed with the right $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-comodule defined by $1\otimes\Delta^{Y}_{X,Y}$, $V\otimes\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module over $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$.

(2) *If moreover V is Yetter-Drinfeld module, then $V\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is Yetter-Drinfeld submodule of $V\otimes\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$.*

Note that when $V=k$ is the trivial comodule, then the action of $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$ on $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is the Myashita-Ulbrich action (see [50], Definition 2.1.8).

Proof. We freely use Proposition 2.13. Let us first check that the above formula defines a right $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-module structure on $V\otimes\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$. It is clear that $(v\otimes a^{X,Y})\leftarrow 1^{Y,Y}=v\otimes a^{X,Y}$. We have
$$\begin{aligned}(v\otimes a^{X,Y})\leftarrow(b^{Y,Y}c^{Y,Y})&=v\leftarrow(b^{X,X}_{(2)}c^{X,X}_{(2)})\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)}c^{Y,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)}c^{X,Y}_{(3)}\\&=(v\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)})\leftarrow c^{X,X}_{(2)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(c^{Y,X}_{(1)})S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)}c^{X,Y}_{(3)}\\&=\Big(v\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)}\Big)\leftarrow c^{X,X}\\&=\big(v\otimes a^{X,Y}\leftarrow b^{Y,Y}\big)\leftarrow c^{Y,Y}\end{aligned}$$
and hence $V\otimes\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is a right $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-module. We also have
$$\begin{aligned}&((v\otimes a^{X,Y})\leftarrow b^{Y,Y})_{(0)}\otimes((v\otimes a^{X,Y})\leftarrow b^{Y,Y})_{(1)}\\&\qquad=v\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)}\otimes\Delta^{Y}_{X,Y}(S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)})\\&\qquad=v\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(3)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(2)})a^{X,Y}_{(1)}b^{X,Y}_{(4)}\otimes S_{Y,Y}(b^{Y,Y}_{(1)})a^{Y,Y}_{(2)}b^{Y,Y}_{(5)}\\&\qquad=((v\otimes a^{X,Y}_{(1)})\leftarrow b^{Y,Y}_{(2)})\otimes S_{Y,Y}(b^{Y,Y}_{(1)})a^{Y,Y}_{(2)}b^{Y,Y}_{(3)}\\&\qquad=(v\otimes a^{X,Y})_{(0)}\leftarrow b^{Y,Y}_{(2)}\otimes S_{Y,Y}(b^{Y,Y}_{(1)})(v\otimes a^{X,Y})_{(1)}b^{Y,Y}_{(3)}\end{aligned}$$
and this shows that $V\otimes\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is a Yetter-Drindeld module over $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$.

Assume now that V is a Yetter-Drinfeld module and let us check that $V\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is Yetter-Drinfeld submodule of $V\otimes\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$. We already know that it is a subcomodule. Let $\sum_i v_i\otimes a_i^{X,Y}\in V\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$. We must check that
$$(\sum_i v_i\otimes a_i^{X,Y})\leftarrow b^{Y,Y}=\sum_i v_i\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a_i^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)}\in V\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$$
We have
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_i(v_i\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)})_{(0)}\otimes(v_i\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)})_{(1)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a_i^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)}\\&\quad=\sum_i v_{i(0)}\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(3)}\otimes S_{X,X}(b^{X,X}_{(2)})v_{i(1)}b^{X,X}_{(4)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a_i^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(5)}\\&\quad=\sum_i v_i\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(3)}\otimes S_{X,X}(b^{X,X}_{(2)})a^{X,X}_{i(1)}b^{X,X}_{(4)}\otimes S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a^{X,Y}_{i(2)}b^{X,Y}_{(5)}\\&\quad=\sum_i v_i\leftarrow b^{X,X}_{(2)}\otimes\Delta^{X}_{X,Y}(S_{Y,X}(b^{Y,X}_{(1)})a_i^{X,Y}b^{X,Y}_{(3)})\end{aligned}$$
which gives the result. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be connected cogroupoid. Then for any $X,Y\in\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the functor*
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{YD}^{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}&\longrightarrow\mathcal{YD}^{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)}_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)}\\V&\longmapsto V\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)\end{aligned}$$
is an equivalence of k-linear monoidal categories.

Proof. First we have to check that we indeed have a functor, i.e. that if $f : V \longrightarrow W$ is a morphism of Yetter-Drinfeld modules, then $f \otimes 1 : V \Box_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \longrightarrow W \Box_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ is a morphism of Yetter-Drindeld modules. We already know it is colinear, and it is not difficult to check that it is also $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-linear. Hence we have our functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F} : \mathcal{YD}^{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{YD}^{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)}_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \\ V &\longmapsto V \Box_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the symmetrically defined functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G} : \mathcal{YD}^{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)}_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{YD}^{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \\ V &\longmapsto V \Box_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \end{aligned}$$

We know from Theorem 2.12 and its proof that these are inverse equivalences on comodules and hence we have to check that the comodule isomophisms $\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F} \cong \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathbb{F} \circ \mathbb{G} \cong \mathrm{id}$ are linear. The first isomorphism is given for $V \in \mathrm{Comod}(\mathcal{C}(X,X))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_V : V &\longrightarrow (V \Box_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \Box_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)} \mathcal{C}(Y,X) \\ v &\longmapsto v_{(0)} \otimes \Delta^Y_{X,X}(v_{(1)}) = v_{(0)} \otimes v^{X,Y}_{(1)} \otimes v^{Y,X}_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

For $a^{X,X} \in \mathcal{C}(X,X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_V(v \leftarrow a^{X,X}) &= (v \leftarrow a^{X,X})_{(0)} \otimes \Delta^Y_{X,X}((v \leftarrow a^{X,X})_{(1)}) \\ &= v_{(0)} \leftarrow a^{X,X}_{(2)} \otimes \Delta^Y_{X,X}(S_{X,X}(a^{X,X}_{(1)}) v_{(1)} a^{X,X}_{(3)}) \\ &= v_{(0)} \leftarrow a^{X,X}_{(3)} \otimes S_{Y,X}(a^{Y,X}_{(2)}) v^{X,Y}_{(1)} a^{X,Y}_{(4)} \otimes S_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}_{(1)}) v^{Y,X}_{(2)} a^{Y,X}_{(5)} \\ &= ((v_{(0)} \otimes v^{X,Y}_{(1)}) \leftarrow a^{Y,Y}_{(2)}) \otimes S_{X,Y}(a^{X,Y}_{(1)}) v^{Y,X}_{(2)} a^{Y,X}_{(3)} \\ &= (v_{(0)} \otimes v^{X,Y}_{(1)} \otimes v^{Y,X}_{(2)}) \leftarrow a^{X,X} = \theta_V(v) \leftarrow a^{X,X} \end{aligned}$$

So θ_V is $\mathcal{C}(X,X)$-linear, and we have inverse equivalences of Yetter-Drinfeld module categories.

We have to check that $\mathbb{F}$ is monoidal. It is clear that $k \cong \mathbb{F}(k)$ is $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-linear and hence it remains to check that for $V, W \in \mathcal{YD}^{\mathcal{C}(X,X))}_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}$ the $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-colinear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_{V,W} : (V \Box_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \otimes (W \Box_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y)) &\longrightarrow (V \otimes W) \Box_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \\ (\sum_i v_i \otimes a^{X,Y}_i) \otimes (\sum_j w_j \otimes b^{X,Y}_j) &\longmapsto \sum_{i,j} v_i \otimes w_j \otimes a^{X,Y}_i b^{X,Y}_j \end{aligned}$$

is $\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$-linear. For $c^{Y,Y} \in \mathcal{C}(Y,Y)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{F}_{V,W}\left(\left((\sum_i v_i \otimes a_i^{X,Y}) \otimes (\sum_j w_j \otimes b_j^{X,Y})\right) \leftarrow c^{Y,Y}\right)\\
&\quad = \widetilde{F}_{V,W}\left(\sum_{i,j} v_i \leftarrow c^{X,X}_{(2)} \otimes S_{Y,X}(c^{Y,X}_{(1)})a_i^{X,Y}c^{X,Y}_{(3)} \otimes w_j \leftarrow c^{X,X}_{(5)} \otimes S_{Y,X}(c^{Y,X}_{(4)})b_j^{X,Y}c^{X,Y}_{(6)}\right)\\
&\quad = \sum_{i,j} v_i \leftarrow c^{X,X}_{(2)} \otimes w_j \leftarrow c^{X,X}_{(5)} \otimes S_{Y,X}(c^{Y,X}_{(1)})a_i^{X,Y}c^{X,Y}_{(3)}S_{Y,X}(c^{Y,X}_{(4)})b_j^{X,Y}c^{X,Y}_{(6)}\\
&\quad = \sum_{i,j} v_i \leftarrow c^{X,X}_{(2)} \otimes w_j \leftarrow c^{X,X}_{(3)} \otimes S_{Y,X}(c^{Y,X}_{(1)})a_i^{X,Y}b_j^{X,Y}c^{X,Y}_{(4)}\\
&\quad = \left(\sum_{i,j} v_i \otimes w_j \otimes a_i^{X,Y}b_j^{X,Y}\right) \leftarrow c^{Y,Y}\\
&\quad = \widetilde{F}_{V,W}\left(\left((\sum_i v_i \otimes a_i^{X,Y}) \otimes (\sum_j w_j \otimes b_j^{X,Y})\right)\right) \leftarrow c^{Y,Y}
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 6.1 now follows from Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 6.3.

6.2. **Application to Brauer groups of Hopf algebras.** In this section we briefly indicate applications of the previous considerations to Brauer groups of Hopf algebras.

Let H be a Hopf algebra. Recall that for $V, W \in \mathcal{YD}_H^H$, the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V,W} : V \otimes W &\longrightarrow W \otimes V\\ v \otimes w &\longmapsto w_{(0)} \otimes v \leftarrow w_{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

defines a prebraiding on $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ (see e.g. the appendix in [50]) which is a braiding if the antipode of H is bijective.

The Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(H)$ of a Hopf algebra H with bijective antipode is defined as the Brauer group of the braided category of finite-dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H [61].

Chen and Zhang [14] observed that for $\sigma \in Z^2(H)$ there is an equivalence of braided monoidal categories between $\mathcal{YD}_H^H$ and $\mathcal{YD}_{H^\sigma}^{H^\sigma}$ and the Brauer group of a braided monoidal category clearly being an invariant of the braided category, this yields a group isomorphism $\mathrm{Br}(H) \cong \mathrm{Br}(H^\sigma)$. To generalize the result to general monoidal equivalences $\mathrm{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(L)$, one may use the center argument or it is enough to check that the monoidal equivalence of Theorem 6.3 is a braided equivalence.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be connected cogroupoid. Then for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the monoidal equivalence*

$$\mathcal{YD}_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}^{\mathcal{C}(X,X)} \cong^{\otimes} \mathcal{YD}_{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)}^{\mathcal{C}(Y,Y)}$$

in Theorem 6.3 is an equivalence of prebraided categories.

Proof. We have to check that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(V\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \otimes (W\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}_{V,W}"] \arrow[d, "c_{-,-}"'] & (V \otimes W)\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \arrow[d, "c_{V,W}\otimes 1"'] \\
(W\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \otimes (V\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{F}_{W,V}"] & (W \otimes V)\square_{\mathcal{C}(X,X)}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{F}_{V,W} \circ c_{-,-} \left((\sum_i v_i \otimes a_i^{X,Y}) \otimes (\sum_j w_j \otimes b_j^{X,Y}) \right) \\
&= \widetilde{F}_{V,W} \left(\sum_{i,j} (w_j \otimes b_j^{X,Y})_{(0)} \otimes (v_i \otimes a_i^{X,Y}) \leftarrow (w_j \otimes b_j^{X,Y})_{(2)} \right) \\
&= \widetilde{F}_{V,W} \left(\sum_{i,j} w_j \otimes b_{j(1)}^{X,Y} \otimes (v_i \otimes a_i^{X,Y}) \leftarrow b_{j(2)}^{Y,Y} \right) \\
&= \widetilde{F}_{V,W} \left(\sum_{i,j} w_j \otimes b_{j(1)}^{X,Y} \otimes v_i \leftarrow b_{j(3)}^{X,X} \otimes S_{Y,X}(b_{j(2)}^{Y,X}) a_i^{X,Y} b_{j(4)}^{X,Y} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} w_j \otimes v_i \leftarrow b_{j(3)}^{X,X} \otimes b_{j(1)}^{X,Y} S_{Y,X}(b_{j(2)}^{Y,X}) a_i^{X,Y} b_{j(4)}^{X,Y} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} w_j \otimes v_i \leftarrow b_{j(1)}^{X,X} \otimes a_i^{X,Y} b_{j(2)}^{X,Y} = \sum_{i,j} w_{j(0)} \otimes v_i \leftarrow w_{j(1)}^{X,X} \otimes a_i^{X,Y} b_j^{X,Y} \\
&= (c_{V,W} \otimes 1) \circ \widetilde{F}_{V,W} \left((\sum_i v_i \otimes a_i^{X,Y}) \otimes (\sum_j w_j \otimes b_j^{X,Y}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. □

Corollary 6.5. *Let H, L be Hopf algebras. If* $\mathrm{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(L)$ *then* $\mathrm{Br}(H) \cong \mathrm{Br}(L)$.

7. HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA APPLICATIONS

This section is devoted to various applications in homological algebra. The first two subsections deal with Hochschild (co)homology of Hopf-Galois objects and comodule algebras. We do not recall the definitions related to Hochschild homology (see [33] for a concise introduction and the references therein). We will not try to give the more complete and strongest results, but we will concentrate on simple concrete applications. The last section deals with bialgebra cohomology introduced by Gerstenhaber and Schack [23, 24].

7.1. Homology of Hopf-Galois objects.

In this subsection we explain how to relate the (co)homology of a Hopf-Galois object to the (co)homology of the underlying Hopf algebra. A deeper study is done in [52].

Theorem 7.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be left H-Galois object. There exists two functors $'$,$''$: $\mathrm{Bimod}(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bimod}(H)$, such that for any A-bimodule M we have*

$$\forall n \geq 0, \ H_n(A, M) \cong H_n(H, M') \text{ and } H^n(A, M) \cong H^n(H, M'')$$

We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be left H-Galois object.*

1. *Let M be a left A-module. For any $n \geq 0$, the linear map*

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{\otimes n} \otimes M &\longrightarrow H^{\otimes n} \otimes M \\
a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes m &\longmapsto a_{1(-1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n(-1)} \otimes a_{1(0)} \cdots a_{n(0)} \cdot m
\end{aligned}$$

   is an isomorphism.
2. *Let M be a right A-module. For any $n \geq 0$, we have a linear isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n}, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(H^{\otimes n}, M)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.11 we can assume that $H = \mathcal{C}(X, X)$ and $A = \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ for objects X, Y of a connected cogroupoid $\mathcal{C}$. If M is a left A-module, the inverse isomorphism $H^{\otimes n} \otimes M \to A^{\otimes n} \otimes M$ is given by

$$a_1^{X,X} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n^{X,X} \otimes m \longmapsto a_{1(1)}^{X,Y} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n(1)}^{X,Y} \otimes S_{Y,X}(a_{1(2)}^{Y,X} \cdots a_{n(2)}^{Y,X}) \cdot m$$

Assume now that M is a right A-module. Consider the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n}, M) &\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_k(H^{\otimes n}, M) \\ f &\longmapsto \widehat{f},\ \widehat{f}(a_1^{X,X} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n^{X,X}) = f(a_{1(1)}^{X,Y} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n(1)}^{X,Y}) \cdot S_{Y,X}(a_{1(2)}^{Y,X} \cdots a_{n(2)}^{Y,X}) \end{aligned}$$

The inverse is given by $g \longmapsto \tilde{g}$, where

$$\tilde{g}(a_1^{X,Y} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n^{X,Y}) = g(a_{1(1)}^{X,X} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n(1)}^{X,Y}) \cdot a_{1(2)}^{X,Y} \cdots a_{n(2)}^{X,Y}$$

and we have our result. □

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Again we can assume that $H = \mathcal{C}(X, X)$ and $A = \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ for objects X, Y of a connected cogroupoid $\mathcal{C}$. Let M be an A-bimodule. It is straighforward to check that one defines an H-bimodule structure on M by letting

$$a^{X,X} \cdot m = \varepsilon_X(a^{X,X})m, \quad m \cdot a^{X,X} = S_{Y,X}(a_{(2)}^{Y,X}) \cdot m \cdot a_{(1)}^{X,Y}$$

This H-bimodule is denoted M', and we get a functor $\operatorname{Bimod}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bimod}(H)$, $M \longmapsto M'$.

Similarly there is an H-bimodule structure on M defined by

$$a^{X,X} \cdot m = a_{(1)}^{X,Y} \cdot m \cdot S_{Y,X}(a_{(2)}^{Y,X}), \quad m \cdot a^{X,X} = \varepsilon_X(a^{X,X})m$$

This H-bimodule is denoted M'', and we get a second functor $\operatorname{Bimod}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bimod}(H)$, $M \longmapsto M''$. It is not difficult to check that the linear isomorphisms of the previous lemma induce isomorphisms between the standard complexes

$$C_*(A, M) \cong C_*(H, M'), \quad C^*(A, M) \cong C^*(H, M'')$$

defining Hochschild homology and cohomology respectively. See [33] (note that for $C_*(A, M)$ one has to switch $M \otimes A^{\otimes *}$ to $A^{\otimes *} \otimes M$). □

Corollary 7.3. *Let $q \in k^*$ and let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ satisfying $\operatorname{tr}(F^{-1}F^t) = -q - q^{-1}$. Then for any $p > 3$ and any $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F)$-bimodule M, we have $H_p(\mathcal{B}(E_q, F), M) = (0) = H^p(\mathcal{B}(E_q, F), M)$.*

Proof. It is known that for any $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-bimodule M one has $H_p(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k)), M) = (0) = H^p(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k)), M)$ if $p > 3$ (see e.g. [28]). Hence since $\mathcal{B}(E_q) = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$, the result follows from Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 7.1. □

7.2. **Equivariant resolutions for comodules algebras.** In this subsection we show how to use monoidal equivalences to get informations on the Hochschild (co)homology of a comodule algebra, by transporting appropriate resolutions. We apply this to the previous algebras $\mathcal{A}_{F,t}$ (with F invertible) to get the following result. The techniques are taken from [37, 45], where more general algebras are studied.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ and $t \in \{0, 1\}$. Then for any $\mathcal{A}_{F,t}$-bimodule M and any $m > 2$ we have $H_m(\mathcal{A}_{F,t}, M) = (0) = H^m(\mathcal{A}_{F,t}, M)$.*

The idea is that we can transport well-known resolutions for the quantum plane $k_q[x, y]$ and for the quantum Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_1^q(k)$ to $\mathcal{A}_{F,t}$ through a monoidal equivalence.

We begin with some generalities. If A is any algebra, we denote by A^e the algebra $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$. The categories of A-bimodules and of A^e-modules are identified in the usual manner.

Definition 7.5. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let A be a right H-comodule algebra. An **H-equivariant free resolution of** A is an exact sequence*

$$\cdots A \otimes V_{n+1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} A \otimes V_n \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_n} \cdots A \otimes V_2 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_2} A \otimes V_1 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_1} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_0 = m} A \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\forall n \geq 1$, V_n is an H-comodule and d_n is a left A-linear, right A-linear and right H-colinear map.

An H-equivariant free resolution of A is in particular a resolution of A by free A^e-modules.

Proposition 7.6. *Let H, L be Hopf algebras and assume that there exists a k-linear monoidal equivalence $F : \mathrm{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(L)$. Let A be a right H-comodule algebra. Then any H-equivariant free resolution of A*

$$\cdots A \otimes V_{n+1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} A \otimes V_n \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_n} \cdots A \otimes V_2 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_2} A \otimes V_1 \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_1} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{d_0 = m} A \longrightarrow 0$$

induces a L-equivariant free resolution of $F(A)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots F(A) \otimes F(V_{n+1}) \otimes F(A) \arrow[r] & F(A) \otimes F(V_n) \otimes F(A) \arrow[r] & \cdots \\
\cdots F(A) \otimes F(V_1) \otimes F(A) \arrow[r] & F(A) \otimes F(A) \arrow[r] & F(A) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The L-equivariant free resolution of $F(A)$ is defined through the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots F(A) \otimes F(V_{n+1}) \otimes F(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}"'] & F(A) \otimes F(V_n) \otimes F(A) \cdots \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}"'] & F(A) \otimes F(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}_{A,A}"'] & F(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
\cdots F(A \otimes V_{n+1} \otimes A) \arrow[r, "F(d_{n+1})"] & F(A \otimes V_n \otimes A) \cdots \arrow[r, "F(d_1)"] & F(A \otimes A) \arrow[r, "F(m)"] & F(A) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the $\widetilde{F}$'s denote the monoidal constraints of F (the maps on the top of the diagram are $F(A)$-linear by definition of the algebra structure on $F(A)$). □

We now apply these considerations to the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{F,t}$ by using the algebras $k_q[x,y]$ and $\mathcal{A}_1^q(k)$. These algebras are $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-comodule algebras and the associated classical Kozsul type resolution are $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-equivariant.

Proposition 7.7. *For $A_{q,t} = \mathcal{A}_{E_q^{-1},t}$, $t \in \{0,1\}$, $A_{q,t} = k\langle x,y\rangle/(yx - qxy = t1)$, we have an $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-equivariant free resolution of $A_{q,t}$*

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{q,t} \otimes A_{q,t} \longrightarrow A_{q,t} \otimes V \otimes A_{q,t} \longrightarrow A_{q,t} \otimes A_{q,t} \longrightarrow A_{q,t} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $V = kx \oplus ky$.

Proof. The resolution is

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{q,t} \otimes A_{q,t} \xrightarrow{\gamma} A_{q,t} \otimes V \otimes A_{q,t} \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes m} A_{q,t} \otimes A_{q,t} \xrightarrow{m} A_{q,t} \longrightarrow 0$$

where γ is the unique $A_{q,t}$-bimodule map such that

$$\gamma(1 \otimes 1) = -qx \otimes y \otimes 1 - q1 \otimes x \otimes y + y \otimes x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y \otimes x$$

See [31, 62] for the verification that this complex of $A_{q,t}$-bimodules is exact. It is straightforward to check that γ is $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-colinear, and since the multiplication of a comodule algebra is colinear, we conclude that we indeed have an $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-equivariant resolution of $A_{q,t}$. □

Proof of Theorem 7.4. First assume that there exists $q \in k^*$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t) = -q - q^{-1}$, so that $\mathcal{B}(E_q, F^{-1})$ is a $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$-$\mathcal{B}(F^{-1})$-bi-Galois object. The result follows by using Propositions 5.4, 7.7, 7.6 and the definition of Hochshild (co)homology by Tor and Ext.

Otherwise let $k \subset k'$ a field extension such that there exists $q \in k'^*$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}F^t) = -q - q^{-1}$. Propositions 7.7 and 7.6 yield a $k' \otimes \mathcal{B}(F^{-1})$-equivariant free resolution of $k' \otimes \mathcal{A}_{F,t}$. Writing explicitely this resolution we see that it is obtained by tensoring an exact sequence of the following type with k'

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{F,t} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{F,t} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{F,t} \otimes V_F \otimes \mathcal{A}_{F,t} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{F,t} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{F,t} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{F,t} \longrightarrow 0$$

which is therefore a resolution of $\mathcal{A}_{F,t}$ by free $\mathcal{A}_{F,t}^e$-modules, and we are done. □

Remark 7.8. The algebras $\mathcal{A}_{F,0}$ are studied directly by Dubois-Violette in [19] by using Koszul algebras techniques.

Remark 7.9. Let us briefly explain how one can also use a monoidal equivalence to deduce the well-known resolution for $k_q[x,y]$ of Proposition 7.7 from the even more well-known resolution for $k[x,y]$. For this consider the resolution of Proposition 7.7 at $q = 1$ slightly modified as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow k[x,y] \otimes \det \otimes k[x,y] \longrightarrow k[x,y] \otimes V \otimes k[x,y] \longrightarrow k[x,y] \otimes k[x,y] \longrightarrow k[x,y] \longrightarrow 0$$

where det is the one dimensional $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$-comodule associated to the determinant. This resolution is formed by $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$-colinear maps. Consider now the AST-matrix

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ q^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Put $\mathcal{O}_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$ (see Subsection 3.4). The reader will check that the monoidal equivalence of Corollary 3.18 transforms the $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$-comodule algebra $k[x,y]$ into the $\mathcal{O}_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathrm{GL}_2(k))$-comodule algebra $k_q[x,y]$. Hence one gets the resolution of Proposition 7.7 by using Proposition 7.6.

Of course we could not use $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$ to get the resolution because there does not exists a monoidal equivalence bewteen $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}_2(k))$ if $q \neq 1$.

7.3. **Application to bialgebra cohomology.** The cohomology of a bialgebra was introduced by Gerstenhaber and Schack [24, 23]: it is defined by means of an explicit bicomplex whose arrows are modelled on the Hochschild complex of the underlying algebra and columns are modelled on the Cartier complex of the underlying coalgebra. If H is a Hopf algebra, let us denote by $H_b^*(H)$ the resulting cohomology. Taillefer [56] proved that

$$H_b^*(H) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{M}(H)}(H,H)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(H)$ is the category of Hopf bimodules over H. Combined with the monoidal equivalence between Hopf bimodules and Yetter-Drinfeld modules [48], this yields an isomorphism

$$H_b^*(H) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{YD}_H^H}(k,k)$$

Moreover it is proved in [55] that if H and L are Hopf algebras such that $\mathrm{Mod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Mod}(L)$, then $H_b^*(H) \cong H_b^*(L)$. The proof is done by constructing a monoidal equivalence between $\mathcal{M}(H)$ and $\mathcal{M}(L)$ from the given monoidal equivalence between $\mathrm{Mod}(H)$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(L)$ (the construction was done by using the dual notion of Hopf-Galois object from [53]) and by using the Ext-description. Of course the argument works if one starts from a monoidal equivalence between comodule categories. It is worth summarizing this in the following statement.

Theorem 7.10. *Let H and L be two Hopf algebras. Assume that*

$$\mathrm{Mod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Mod}(L) \text{ or } \mathrm{Comod}(H) \cong^{\otimes} \mathrm{Comod}(L)$$

Then $H_b^*(H) \cong H_b^*(L)$.

Note that explicit computations of $H_b^*(H)$ are known only in very few cases: see [57] and the references therein.

References

[1] M. Artin, W. Schelter, J. Tate, Quantum deformations of GL_n, *Commm. on Pure and Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), 879-895.

[2] T. Aubriot, On the classification of Galois objects over the quantum group of a nondegenerate bilinear form, *Manuscripta Math.* **122** (2007), 119-135.

[3] T. Banica, Le groupe quantique libre $U(n)$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **190** (1997), 143-172.

[4] G. Benkart, M. Pereira, S. Witherspoon, Yetter-Drinfeld modules under cocycle twists, *J. Algebra*, to appear.

[5] J. Bichon, Quelques nouvelles déformations du groupe symétrique, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **330** (2000), 761-764.

[6] J. Bichon, Cosovereign Hopf algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157**, No.2-3 (2001), 121-133.

[7] J. Bichon, Cosemisimple Hopf algebras with antipode of arbitrary finite order, *New York J. Math.* **8** (2002), 235-240.

[8] J. Bichon, The representation category of the quantum group of a non-degenerate bilinear form, *Comm. Algebra* **31**, No. 10 (2003), 4831-4851.

[9] J. Bichon, Hopf-Galois systems, *J. Algebra* **264** (2003), 565-581.

[10] J. Bichon, Corepresentation theory of universal cosovereign Hopf algebra, *J. London Math. Soc.* **75** (2007), 83-98.

[11] R. Blattner, S. Montgomery, Crossed products and Hopf-Galois extensions of algebras, *Pac. J. Math.* **137** (1989), 37-54.

[12] G. Böhm, F. Nill, K. Szlachanyi, Weak Hopf algebras I, integral theory and C^*-structure, *J. Algebra* **221** (1999), 385-438.

[13] A. Bruguières, Théorie tannakienne non commutative, *Comm. Algebra* **22** (1994), 5817-5860.

[14] H. Chen, Y. Zhang, Cocycle deformations and Brauer groups, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007), 399-433.

[15] Y. Doi, Braided bialgebras and quadratic algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **21**, No.5 (1993), 1731-1749.

[16] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi, Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra, *Comm. Algebra* **14** (1986), 801-817.

[17] K. De Commer, Galois objects for algebraic quantum groups, *J. Algebra* **321** (2009), 1746-1785.

[18] K. De Commer, On the construction of quantum homogeneous spaces from $*$-Galois objects, arXiv:1001.2153.

[19] M. Dubois-Violette, Multilinear forms and graded algebras, *J. Algebra* **317** (2007), 198-225.

[20] M. Dubois-Violette, G. Launer, The quantum group of a non-degenerate bilinear form, *Phys. Lett. B* **245**, No.2 (1990), 175-177.

[21] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, On cotriangular Hopf algebras, *Amer. J. Math* **123**, No. 4 (2001), 699-713.

[22] P. Etingof, V. Ostrik, Modules categories over representations of $\mathrm{SL}_q(2)$ and graphs, *Math Res. Lett.* **11** (2004), 103-114.

[23] M. Gerstenhaber, S. Schack, Bialgebra cohomology, deformations and quantum groups, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **87** (1990), 78-81.

[24] M. Gerstenhaber, S. Schack, Algebras, bialgebras, quantum groups, and algebraic deformations, *Contemp. Math.* **134** (1992), 51-92.

[25] C. Grunspan, Quantum torsors, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **184** (2003), 229-255.

[26] C. Grunspan, Hopf-Galois systems and Kashiwara algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 3373-3389.

[27] R. Günther, Crossed products for pointed Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **27**, No. 9 (1999), 4389-4410.

[28] T. Hadfield, U. Krähmer, Twisted homology of quantum SL(2), *K-Theory* **34** (2005), 327-360.

[29] D. Hobst, Antipodes in the theory of noncommutative torsors, Thesis, Munich, 2004.

[30] A. Joyal, R. Street, An introduction to Tannaka duality and quantum groups, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1488** (1991), 413-492.

[31] C. Kassel, Cyclic homology of differential operators, the Virasoro algebra and a q-analogue, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **146** (1992), 343-356.

[32] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, GTM 155, Springer, 1995.

[33] C. Kassel, Homology and cohomology of associative algebras, a concise introduction to cyclic homology. Notes for a course given at ICTP Trieste, 2004 (available at the author's we be page).

[34] C. Kassel, H.-J. Schneider, Homotopy theory of Hopf-Galois extensions, *Ann Inst. Fourier* **55** (2005), 2521-2550.

[35] A. Klimyk, K. Schmüdgen, Quantum groups and their representations, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer, 1997.

[36] H.F. Kreimer, P.M. Cook II, Galois theories and normal bases, *J. Algebra* **43** (1976), 115-121.

[37] A. Malkin, V. Ostrik, M. Vybornov, Quiver varieties and Lusztig's algebra, *Adv. Math.* **203** (2006), 514-536.

[38] A. Masuoka, Cleft extensions for a Hopf algebra generated by a nearly primitive element, *Comm. Algebra* **22**, No. 11 (1994), 4537-4559.

[39] A. Masuoka, Abelian and non-abelian second cohomologies of quantized enveloping algebras, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), 1-47.

[40] M. Mombelli, Module categories over pointed Hopf algebras, *Math. Z.*, to appear.

[41] M. Mombelli, Representations of tensor categories coming from quantum linear spaces, arXiv:0907.4517.

[42] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, Amer. Math. Soc. 1993.

[43] S. Montgomery, Hopf-Galois theory: a survey, *Geom. Topol. Monographs* **16** (2009), 367-400.

[44] V. Ostrik, Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants, *Transform. Groups* **8** (2003), 177-206.

[45] V. Ostrik, Module categories over representaions of $\mathrm{SL}_q(2)$ in the non semisimple case, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2008), 2005-2017.

[46] C. Riehm, The equivalence of bilinear forms, *J. Algebra* **31** (1974), 45-66.

[47] P. Schauenburg, Tannaka duality for arbitrary Hopf algebras, Algebra Berichte 66, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, Munich, 1992.

[48] P. Schauenburg, Hopf modules and Yetter-Drinfeld modules, *J. Algebra* **169** (1994), 874-890.
[49] P. Schauenburg, Hopf bigalois extensions, *Comm. Algebra* **24**, No. 12 (1996), 3797-3825.
[50] P. Schauenburg, Hopf-Galois and bi-Galois extensions, *Fields Inst. Comm.* **43** (2004), 469-515.
[51] H.-J. Schneider, Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras, *Israel J. Math.* **72** (1990), 167-195.
[52] D. Stefan, Hochschild cohomology on Hopf Galois extensions, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **103** (1995), 221-233.
[53] M. Suarez-Alvarez, Equivalencias Morita monoidales y coextensiones Hopf-bicogaloisianas.
[54] M. Takeuchi, Morita theorems for categories of comodules, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **24** (1977), 629-644.
[55] R. Taillefer, Théories homologiques des algèbres de Hopf, thèse, Montpellier, 2001
[56] R. Taillefer, Injective Hopf bimodules, cohomologies of infinite dimensional Hopf algebras and graded-commutativity of the Yoneda product, *J. Algebra* **276** (2004), 259-279.
[57] R. Taillefer, Bialgebra cohomology of the duals of a class of generalized Taft algebras, *Comm. Algebra* (2007), 1415-1420.
[58] K.H. Ulbrich, Galois extensions as functors on comodules, *Manuscripta Math.* **59** (1987), 391-397.
[59] K.H. Ulbrich, Fibre functors on finite dimensional comodules, *Manuscripta Math.* **65** (1989), 39-46.
[60] K.H. Ulbrich, On Hopf algebras and rigid monoidal categories, *Israel J. Math.* **72** (1990), 252-256.
[61] F. Van Oystaeyen, Y. Zhang, The Brauer group of a braided monoidal category, *J. Algebra* **202** (1998), 96-128.
[62] M. Wambst, Complexes de Koszul quantiques, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **43** (1993), 1089-1156.
[63] S. Wang, Free products of compact quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **167** (1995), 671-692.
[64] S. Wang, Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998), 195-211.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université Blaise Pascal, Complexe universitaire des Cézeaux, 63177 Aubière Cedex, France
E-mail address: `Julien.Bichon@math.univ-bpclermont.fr`